

<b>Location reference:</b>	<b>Gribbin Head to Par Docks</b>
<b>Management Area reference:</b>	<b>MA06</b>
<b>Policy Development Zone:</b>	<b>PDZ3</b>



**PREFERRED POLICY TO IMPLEMENT PLAN:**

<b>From present day (0-20 years)</b>	NAI along undefended cliff sections. MR at Polkerris. NAI at Par Sands. MR at Par Docks
<b>Medium term (20-50 years)</b>	NAI along undefended cliff sections. MR at Polkerris. MR at Par Sands. NAI at Par Docks.
<b>Long term (50 -100 years)</b>	NAI along undefended cliff sections. NAI along undefended cliff sections. MR at Polkerris. MR at Par Sands. NAI at Par Docks.

**SUMMARY OF SPECIFIC POLICIES**

Policy Unit		SMP1 Policy	SMP2 Policy Plan			Comment
		50 yrs	2025	2055	2105	
6.1	Undefended cliffs	Do nothing	NAI	NAI	NAI	Continuation of existing policy from SMP1. Meets objectives relating to the AONB and Heritage coast designations.
6.2	Polkerris	Long term hold the line	<b>MR (with localised HTL)</b>	<b>MR (with localised HTL)</b>	<b>MR (with localised HTL)</b>	MR is subject to land-use planners agreeing that a roll back policy is best option for Polkerris. Policy does not preclude landowner of defences and properties (Duchy of Cornwall) from maintaining harbour and defences in current position in short-medium term but HTL may become unsustainable in long term.
6.3	Par Sands	Short term do nothing, monitor dune evolution to establish future policy	<b>NAI</b>	<b>MR</b>	<b>MR</b>	Investigate landfill risks and identify possible strategy for MR & dune roll back into hinterland. Identification of this area as a 'Coastal Change Management Area' within the land use planning system may be necessary.
6.4	Par Docks	Hold the line	<b>MR</b>	<b>NAI</b>	<b>NAI</b>	Strategic importance of docks to sustainable regeneration of local area. However some realignment to allow for sea level rise & to improve longer term sustainability should be considered in line with Par Sands and to move toward a non-interventional frontage.

Key: HTL - Hold the Line, A - Advance the Line, NAI – No Active Intervention  
MR – Managed Realignment

PREDICTED IMPLICATIONS OF THE PREFERRED PLAN

Policy Unit		Theme				
		Property & Land Use	Nature Conservation	Landscape	Historic Environment	Amenity & Recreational Use
6.1	Undefended cliffs	No adverse impacts on property or land use. Low erosion rates anticipated.	Natural processes occurring under preferred plan, value of natural maritime cliff habitat maintained.	Continued no active intervention approach would help to maintain landscape value and uphold the AONB and Heritage Coast designations.	There are no historic sites impacted by the very low rates of erosion anticipated for this frontage.	No implications identified.
6.2	Polkerris	Potentially some loss of property and infrastructure depending on availability of local funding.	No specific implications for this frontage.	Realignment of the frontage could have built landscape implications, particularly if harbour structures were not maintained.	A number of historic sites could be affected under the preferred plan, including Pill Box, Cannon and Fish Cellars, along with 5 listed buildings, which could affect integrity of Conservation Area.	Recreational uses associated with the harbour, i.e. fishing, sailing, general tourism could be adversely affected.
6.3	Par Sands	Implications are for major change in land use as dunes allowed to roll back under preferred plan.	Coastal fringe habitats should be maintained under a managed realignment approach.	Landscape values should be maintained.	Historic gun emplacements currently behind dune line may be at risk under the preferred plan in the long term (50-100 years).	Beach width should be maintained, coastal squeeze affects minimized, benefits to tourism and recreational activity.
6.4	Par Docks	Some loss of dock infrastructure. Major land use changes expected to occur (from commercial to residential).	No specific implications for this frontage.	Landscape as it exists would become altered.	No specific historic interests to limit capacity for realignment.	No implications identified.