

Location reference:	Pentire Point to Boscastle
Management Area reference:	MA37
Policy Development Zone:	PDZ15



PREFERRED POLICY TO IMPLEMENT PLAN:

From present day (0-20 years)	NAI along undefended cliff sections, including Trebarwith Strand. MR at Portquin. HTL at Port Issac. MR at Port Gaverne. NAI at Tintagel. HTL at Boscastle.
Medium term (20-50 years)	NAI along undefended cliff sections, including Trebarwith Strand. MR at Portquin. HTL at Port Issac. MR at Port Gaverne. NAI at Tintagel. HTL at Boscastle.
Long term (50 -100 years)	NAI along undefended cliff sections, including Trebarwith Strand. Move to NAI at Portquin. HTL at Port Issac. Move to NAI at Port Gaverne. NAI at Tintagel. HTL at Boscastle.

SUMMARY OF SPECIFIC POLICIES

Policy Unit		SMP1 Policy	SMP2 Policy Plan			Comment
		50 yrs	2025	2055	2105	
37.1	Undefended Cliffs	Do nothing	NAI	NAI	NAI	Meeting objectives of AONB, Heritage Coast and 3 SSSI designations. Including Trebarwith Strand (monitor for coastal squeeze effects).
37.2	Portquin	Hold the line	MR	MR	NAI	Possible realignment of road during epoch 2. Manage risk to listed properties through MR. Eventual aim to establish robust NAI position.
37.3	Port Issac	Hold the line	HTL	HTL	HTL	Very significant implications for historic area dictate preference for HTL. No implications from local HTL for adjacent undefended frontages.
37.4	Port Gaverne	Hold the line	MR	MR	NAI	Possible realignment of road required during epoch 1 or 2. Manage risk to listed properties through MR. Eventual aim to establish robust NAI position. Given the very small nature of the settlement however, actions are likely to be reactive and in response to possible damage caused through extreme storm events.
37.5	Tintagel	Do nothing	NAI	NAI	NAI	NAI does not preclude local management intervention at specific localities along cliff line to maintain access to the Island. Relevant Heritage bodies to drive any need for intervention. Actively monitor cliff recession.
37.6	Boscastle	Hold the line	HTL	HTL	HTL	Current shoreline position deemed to be sustainable. HTL policy should not be detrimental to SSSI designations beyond any historical influence of harbour structures.

Key: HTL - Hold the Line, A - Advance the Line, NAI – No Active Intervention
MR – Managed Realignment

PREDICTED IMPLICATIONS OF THE PREFERRED PLAN

Policy Unit		Theme				
		Property & Land Use	Nature Conservation	Landscape	Historic Environment	Amenity & Recreational Use
37.1	Undefended Cliffs	Low erosion risk and sparse habitation – no implications.	Preferred plan would allow natural evolution of the rocky cliff line and its habitats.	Landscape quality would be supported and retained under the preferred NAI plan, also supporting the AONB and Heritage Coast designations.	No significant implications.	Existing recreation and amenity use would be supported under the preferred plan.
37.2	Portquin	Risks to property managed under MR policy.	Some constraint of the shoreline would continue.	Landscape quality would generally support the AONB and Heritage Coast designations but defences would remain in part.	6 or 7 listed buildings could be impacted under potential future realignment.	Existing recreation and amenity use would be supported under the preferred plan.
37.3	Port Issac	Property at risk will be defended.	Shoreline would be constrained, coastal squeeze may occur, affecting intertidal habitats.	Landscape would remain very much defined by the defences.	Many listed buildings within the Port Issac Conservation Area would be defended under the preferred plan.	Recreational and amenity use of the frontage would continue – coastal squeeze may have negative impacts in the longer term.
37.4	Port Gaverne	Road will need to be realigned as part of preferred plan.	Some constraint of the shoreline would continue, some coastal squeeze may occur.	Landscape quality would generally support the AONB and Heritage Coast designations but defences would remain an integral aspect of the landscape.	Scheduled fish cellar and listed buildings could be impacted by realignment.	Existing recreation and amenity use would be supported under the preferred plan.
37.5	Tintagel	No implications.	Preferred plan would allow natural evolution of the rocky cliff line and its habitats. This would support objectives of the Tintagel-Marsland-Clovelly SAC.	Landscape quality would be supported and retained under the preferred NAI plan, also supporting the AONB and Heritage Coast designations.	Significant erosion impacts on the Tintagel site are unlikely.	Existing important recreation and amenity use would be supported under the preferred plan.
37.6	Boscastle	No implications.	Shoreline would be constrained, coastal squeeze may occur, affecting intertidal habitats.	Landscape would remain very much defined by the defences.	No implications.	Existing recreational use will be supported by retention of the harbour and quays.