

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

## Index of Community Network Areas

CNA 1 - West Penwith .....	2
CNA 2 - Hayle and St Ives .....	12
CNA 3 - Helston and South Kerrier.....	17
CNA 4 - Camborne, Pool, Illogan, Redruth.....	23
CNA 5 - Falmouth and Penryn.....	28
CNA 6 - St Agnes and Perranporth.....	37
CNA 7 - Truro and The Roseland .....	41
CNA 8 - Newquay and St Columb.....	51
CNA 9 - China Clay.....	54
CNA 10 - St Austell and Mevagissey.....	57
CNA 11 - Wadebridge and Padstow .....	66
CNA 12 - Bodmin .....	72
CNA 13 - St Blazey, Fowey and Lostwithiel .....	73
CNA 14 - Camelford.....	76
CNA 15 - Liskeard and Looe .....	79
CNA 16 - Bude .....	85
CNA 17 - Launceston .....	86
CNA 18 - Caradon .....	89
CNA 19 - Cornwall Gateway .....	91
Index of Parish unique reference numbers .....	92

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**CNA 1 - West Penwith**

**101 Ludgvan**

1. Ludgvan Parish Council submitted a number of proposals which are summarised as follows; to unite all of Nancledra village within a single parish and to accept Towednack Parish Council's (205) proposal to update Ludgvan's northern boundary accordingly; include Towednack Parish as a ward of Ludgvan Parish; move its boundary with St Erth Parish (203) from the A30 to the railway line to unite the communities of Canon's Town and Rose-an-Grouse within one parish. Penzance Town Council (106) proposed to move its parish boundary to include Longrock which is currently in Ludgvan Parish.
2. Ludgvan Parish Council indicated that it wished to see no change regarding its boundary with Marazion Parish (103) and Penzance Parish, particularly as it relates to Longrock. Ludgvan Parish Council was open to the Gulval ward of Penzance Parish becoming a ward of Ludgvan Parish, subject to further public consultation.
3. Cornwall Council had received one submission directly from residents which sought the Gulval ward of Penzance Parish, excluding Eastern Green, to become a ward of Ludgvan Parish as they had the general feeling that they would better fit with Ludgvan Parish but confirmed that they do not feel part of Penzance Town.
4. At its meeting on the 14<sup>th</sup> October 2019 the panel agreed to defer the proposals from Ludgvan Parish. The panel did, however, decide in relation to Nancledra that the village should be united within the parish of Towednack.
5. In relation to the boundary with St Erth, the panel agreed that sufficient evidence had been provided to unite the communities of Canon's Town and Rose-an-Grouse as better reflecting the identities and interests of the communities in that area.

It was proposed by Councillor M Thomas, seconded by Councillor Eddy and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area edged in red on the map on page 32 of appendix 3 to the report be transferred from St Erth Parish to Ludgvan Parish.

6. Marazion Town Council had proposed to extend its boundary to the west to include the Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) currently in Ludgvan Parish as it considered that the area has stronger associations with Marazion Parish. Penzance Town Council had proposed to move its parish

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

- boundary east to include Longrock which it considered to have strong economic links with Penzance Town.
7. Ludgvan Parish Council had stated that it wished to see no change regarding its boundary with Marazion Parish and Penzance Parish, particularly as it related to Longrock.
  8. The local Member was of the view that although Longrock was part of Ludgvan Parish, it was also part of the Penzance urban area and incorporating this into Penzance would simply be recognising how things had changed in recent years.
  9. In relation to the submission from Marazion Town Council, no evidence had been submitted to the panel that a transfer of the SSSI site would secure more effective and convenient community governance of that area. With regard to the submission from Penzance Town Council, some evidence had been submitted to the panel that the residents within Longrock considered that the proposed change would not better reflect the identities or interest of the community in that area or secure more effective and convenient community governance of that area.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Fitter and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish boundary between Ludgvan Parish and Marazion Parish in relation to the SSSI site.

It was proposed by Councillor McKenna, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish boundary between Penzance Parish and Ludgvan Parish in relation to Longrock and the Eastern Green area.

### **102 Madron**

10. Madron Parish Council proposed that Gulval village and its surrounding area as shown edged red on the map on page 34 of appendix 3 to the report be transferred from Penzance Parish (106) to Madron Parish, and that the number of councillors be increased in consequence.
11. The panel carefully considered the various submissions made with regard to this proposal including from the local Members, the Gulval Village Community Association together with the consultation it had carried out, Madron Parish Council and Penzance Town Council.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area edged in red on the map on page 34 of appendix 3 to the report not be transferred from Penzance Parish to Madron Parish at this time as insufficient evidence had been put forward as yet that this would better reflect the identity and interests of the community in that area or secure more effective and convenient community governance within the area. Cornwall Council would welcome further evidence by the end of Stage 3 of the Review or otherwise of any such transfer, together with clarification of the precise area wished to be transferred, further detail from Madron Parish Council as to how this area would be incorporated within the parish arrangements, and information from Penzance Town Council as to the effect on Penzance Town Council of a transfer of that area.

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the number of Councillors not be increased for Madron Parish Council.

### **103 Marazion**

12. Marazion Town Council had proposed to extend its boundary to the west to include an SSSI site currently in Ludgvan Parish (101) as it considered that the area had stronger associations with Marazion Parish, and also to the east to include the hamlet of Plain-an-Gwarry currently in St Hilary Parish (111).
13. No evidence had been submitted to the panel that a transfer of the SSSI site would secure more effective and convenient community governance of those areas. In relation to the land to the east, the panel considered that the potential transfer of the Plain-an-Gwarry and Gwallon areas should be considered following the public consultation during Stage 3 of the Review.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Fitter and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish boundary between Ludgvan Parish and Marazion Parish in relation to the SSSI site.

14. Perranuthnoe Parish (107) had proposed to extend its boundary west into Marazion Parish as shown on the map on page 37 of appendix 3 to the

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

report to follow the infrastructure and perceptions of parish affiliation and this proposal was supported by Marazion Parish.

15. The panel considered that sufficient evidence had been produced to demonstrate that this would better reflect the identities and interests of the community in that area.

It was proposed by Councillor M Thomas, seconded by Councillor McKenna and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the land edged in red on the map on page 37 of appendix 3 to the report be transferred from Marazion Parish to Perranuthnoe Parish.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Kenny and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no other change be made to the boundary of Marazion Parish.

#### **104 Morvah**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

#### **105 Paul**

16. Paul Parish Council proposed to amalgamate Paul Parish with St Buryan Parish (110) into a new parish to be called 'St Buryan and Paul Parish' to provide more efficient governance and unite the residents of Lamorna Valley into one parish. St Buryan Parish Council supported the amalgamation suggested the name of the amalgamated parish be 'St Buryan, Lamorna and Paul Parish'. Cornwall Council had also received a submission directly from a resident to the same effect.

17. The local Division Member was of the view that it was a sensible option as it was felt that this area had insufficient representation on Penzance Town Council and there was the will for a new parish council to be created.

It was proposed by Councillor Hawkins and

**RESOLVED AT COUNCIL** that Paul Parish be amalgamated with St Buryan Parish, to become the parish of St Buryan, Lamorna and Paul.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

## 106 Penzance

18. Penzance Town Council proposed to move its boundary east into Ludgvan Parish (101) as shown edged red on the map on page 42 of appendix 3 to the report, to include Longrock and the Eastern Green area which it considered had close economic links with Penzance. It was further proposed that Longrock would be included in the Gulval ward of Penzance Parish which would be renamed Gulval and Longrock and the ward's councillors would be increased from one to two to reflect the increased electorate.
19. For the reasons set out above in relation to Ludgvan Parish, that proposal was not supported by the panel:

It was proposed by Councillor McKenna, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish boundary between Penzance Parish and Ludgvan Parish in relation to Longrock and the Eastern Green area.

20. Madron Parish Council (102) proposed that Gulval village and its surrounding area as shown edged red on the map on page 34 of appendix 3 to the report be transferred from Penzance Parish to Madron Parish, and that the number of councillors be increased in consequence.
21. The panel carefully considered the various submissions made with regard to this proposal including from the local Members, the Gulval Village Community Association, Madron Parish Council and Penzance Town Council.
22. For the reasons set out above in relation to Madron Parish, that proposal was not supported by the panel:

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area edged in red on the map on page 34 of appendix 3 to the report not be transferred from Penzance Parish to Madron Parish at this time as insufficient evidence had been put forward as yet that this would better reflect the identity and interests of the community in that area or secure more effective and convenient community governance within the area. Cornwall Council would welcome further evidence by the end of Stage 3 of the Review or otherwise of any such transfer, together with clarification of the precise area wished to be transferred, further detail from Madron Parish Council as to how this area would be incorporated within

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

the parish arrangements, and information from Penzance Town Council as to the effect on Penzance Town Council of a transfer of that area.

23. Cornwall Council received a detailed submission from the Heamoor Village Council Steering Group proposing that a new parish of Heamoor be created which would be coterminous with the Penzance Parish ward of Heamoor. The community organisation considered that the Heamoor area had a different identity to that of Penzance town and was underrepresented on Penzance Town Council.
24. The panel recognised the strength of the submission from the steering group together with the results of the residents survey. It noted the contention by the steering group that Heamoor was a distinct community but the panel observed that a parish can comprise a number of different communities provided there is a commonality of interest and community identity.
25. The Panel noted the submission from Penzance Town Council as to the economic and social links between Heamoor and Penzance and the potential effect on Penzance in terms of its viability as a council providing services to the wider community which are already in place.

It was proposed by Councillor McKenna, seconded by Councillor Buscombe and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the Heamoor parish ward of Penzance Town Council remain within Penzance Parish.

26. Cornwall Council had also received a submission from a local resident that sought to include Manor Way into the proposed Heamoor Parish due to a shared identity.

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that as it had been recommended that Heamoor remain within the Penzance Parish, no change be made in relation to Manor Way.

27. Cornwall Council had also received a submission from a local resident that sought to create a new parish from the Newlyn and Mousehole parish ward of Penzance parish to be called Newlyn, Mousehole and Paul Parish, so that these communities can have their own identity, and a convenient means for addressing their own issues and interests at parish level.
28. Having received no substantive evidence as to the views of the community in that ward, or as to the financial impact on Penzance Town Council of

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

this proposal the panel were of the view that it had not been demonstrated that the change would better reflect the identities and interests of the community in that area. The panel were, however, supportive of the creation of a specific Mousehole and Paul parish ward from the current Newlyn, Mousehole and Paul parish ward.

It was proposed by Councillor Eddy, seconded by Councillor Kenny and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that a new parish of Newlyn, Mousehole and Paul not be created but that the creation of a specific Mousehole and Paul ward which 1 of the 4 existing Newlyn and Mousehole ward members could be dedicated to represent, be approved in principle.

### **107 Perranuthnoe**

29. Perranuthnoe Parish Council proposed to move its parish boundary north into St Hilary Parish (111) and west into Marazion Parish (103) as shown edged red on the map on page 45 of appendix 3 to the report. In relation to the proposal to transfer part of Marazion Parish into Perranuthnoe Parish, for the reasons set out above in relation to Marazion Parish, that proposal was supported by the panel.

It was proposed by Councillor M Thomas, seconded by Councillor McKenna and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the land edged in red on the map on page 37 of appendix 3 to the report be transferred from Marazion Parish to Perranuthnoe Parish.

30. So far as the proposal in relation to St Hilary Parish is concerned, the panel noted that St Hilary Parish Council opposed the proposal as it would change historic boundaries. Having regard to the various submissions relating to this area, the panel considered that the urban area immediately north of Gears Lane should be transferred to Perranuthnoe Parish as this would better reflect the identities and interests of the community in that area.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor M Thomas and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the boundary of Perranuthnoe Parish be expanded to include a tight boundary around the area to the North of Gears Lane with the precise boundary to be decided by Head of Councillor



Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

Support and Democratic Process in consultation with the Chairman and Vice Chairman of the Electoral Review Panel.

31. A resident proposed that Rosudgeon either formed a new parish covering smaller surrounding villages or joining with St Hilary Parish along with Kenneggy so as to improve governance and representation.

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that there be no consultation on the proposal to group Rosudgeon Parish with any other parish as no evidence had been put forward that grouping would better reflect the identity and interests of the community in that area or secure more effective and convenient community governance.

#### **108 Sancreed**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

#### **109 Sennen**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

#### **110 St Buryan**

32. St Buryan Parish Council proposed to amalgamate Paul Parish (105) with St Buryan Parish into a new parish to be called 'St Buryan, Lamorna and Paul Parish' to provide more efficient governance and unite the residents of Lamorna Valley into one parish. Paul Parish Council supported this proposal. Cornwall Council had also received a submission directly from a resident to the same effect.

It was proposed by Councillor Hawkins and

**RESOLVED AT COUNCIL** that Paul Parish be amalgamated with St Buryan Parish, to become the parish of St Buryan, Lamorna and Paul.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

### 111 St Hilary

33. St Hilary Parish Council proposed no change to its parish boundary. The Parish Councils of Perranuthnoe (107) and Marazion (103) made proposals in relation to St Hilary Parish. The panel did not support the boundary change proposed by Marazion Parish Council. The panel did support a change to the boundary between St Hilary Parish and Perranuthnoe Parish as follows:

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor M Thomas and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the boundary of Perranuthnoe Parish be expanded to include a tight boundary around the area to the North of Gears Lane with the precise boundary to be decided by Head of Councillor Support and Democratic Process in consultation with the Chairman and Vice Chairman of the Electoral Review Panel.

### 112 St Just-in-Penwith

A Member present who lived in the St Just-in-Penwith Parish advised that there were two very distinct communities in this area and therefore consideration should be given to warding into two wards, one for St Just and one for Pendeen. This area currently had a 40/60 split which was a concern and therefore local residents, the Town Council and the local Member should all be consulted regarding new warding options;

During the debate a number of comments were made, including:

34. A recommendation could be made for no change but the Panel could note the suggestion asking for more evidence to be provided to the Panel; and  
35. Further details on the proposed division of the wards was needed.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Frost and

**RESOLVED** to note the representation that St Just-in-Penwith Parish be warded and officers contact the local Member and the Town Council for their comments to enable further discussion at the meeting on 15 November 2019.

**At the meeting on the 15<sup>th</sup> November the following was discussed in relation to St Just in Penwith:**

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

36. St Just-in-Penwith Town Council proposed that there be no change to its existing parish boundaries. The panel was requested by a Cornwall Council Member to give consideration to warding the parish so that there be two wards; namely, St Just, and Pendeen. The panel noted that St Just-in-Penwith Parish Council opposed this proposal.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Fitter and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

**113 St Levan**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

**114 St Michael's Mount**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

**115 Zennor**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**CNA 2 - Hayle and St Ives**

**201 Gwinear-Gwithian**

37. Gwinear-Gwithian Parish Council indicated that it wished;
- 1) To move its boundaries west to take 13 properties that lie within Hayle Parish but either associate with Connor Downs or relate to the area, and into Gwinear-Gwithian Parish;
  - 2) Give two properties that currently lie within Gwinear-Gwithian Parish on the edge of the village of Angarrack, which currently lies predominantly within Hayle Parish, to Hayle Parish.
  - 3) Take Nanpusker Pump House to unite with the lodges and also include an area of the County Wildlife Site that is also split between the parishes.
  - 4) Take the four properties which associate as part of the hamlet of Fraddam, three lying in the St Erth Parish and one lying in the Crowan Parish. By bringing these properties into Gwinear-Gwithian Parish it ensures the hamlet of Fraddam lies within one Parish.
  - 5) Gwinear-Gwithian Parish Council also expressed that Leedstown is a large village with the majority lying within Crowan Parish with a spread of sporadic development out of Leedstown into Gwinear-Gwithian Parish. These developments associate with Leedstown. Gwinear-Gwithian Parish Council wishes to move 8 properties into Crowan Parish to ensure both sides of the road are in the same Parish. In addition, move the 2 properties in the small area around Lambo into Gwinear-Gwithian by continuing the boundary straight on.
  - 6) Take one property in the defined triangle of Hallancoose of Crowan Parish, which has to go through Gwinear-Gwithian Parish to leave their property.
  - 7) Take 12 properties in the hamlets of Roseworthy and Merry Meeting that are currently split between Gwinear-Gwithian Parish and Camborne Parish as there is apparent evidence of residents living in Merry Meeting thinking they are part of Gwinear-Gwithian Parish. Merry Meeting is thought to relate better to Roseworthy and Gwinear-Gwithian Parish with the spread of development towards Gwinear-Gwithian Parish.
  - 8) Gwinear-Gwithian Parish Council also proposes to take three properties in Godrevy from Camborne Parish into Gwinear-Gwithian Parish as they are all required to go through the Gwinear-Gwithian Parish to leave their properties. These properties would associate with Godrevy and the main road is a strong feature.
38. For the reasons set out in the report to the panel on pages 138 to 140 of appendix 3;

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

It was proposed by Councillor M Thomas, seconded by Councillor Fitter and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that

- 1) The two properties at Mutton Hill be transferred from Hayle Parish (202) to Gwinear-Gwithian Parish; but that the surrounding farmsteads and other properties including fields (Connor Downs East) are not transferred to Gwinear-Gwithian Parish and remain in Hayle Parish;
- 2) The two properties at Trungle Mill remain in Gwinear-Gwithian Parish;
- 3) The Nanpusker Pump House be transferred from Hayle Parish to Gwinear-Gwithian Parish; and

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish boundaries with St Erth Parish (203) and Crowan Parish (302) at Fraddam.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Mackrory and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish boundaries with Crowan at Leedstown or with Crowan Parish (302) at Hallancoose.

It was proposed by Councillor Frost, seconded by Councillor M Thomas and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish boundaries with Camborne Parish (401) at Roseworthy and Merry Meeting or with Camborne Parish at Godrevy.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor M Thomas and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the warding and Councillor numbers within Gwinear-Gwithian Parish.

**202 Hayle**

39. The local Member explained that Hayle Town Council felt that the recommendation from the Local Government Boundary Commission for England (LGBCE) was based on the re-creation of the Cornwall Council boundaries. This left Hayle Town as a central core with a rural arc around Hayle which included Gwinear-Gwithian. Until this point in time Hayle

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

Parish had two equal wards which each represented fairly equal numbers and an equal mix of the population which included both urban areas and rural areas along with half of the harbour each. This set up was very helpful to the workings of the Town Council as all of the local Councils could act as one because they all had a form of representation. Although the Cornwall Council divisions could no longer be changed without the consent of the LGBCE, Hayle Town Council had proposed that within the Parish boundaries there were two wards, one for the north and one for the south. As far as the LGBCE would be concerned there would be two divisional representatives but that was true for Gwinear-Gwithian as well. Hayle Town would still be represented by two Cornwall Councillors. For the internal workings of Hayle Town Council and for the unity of the Council as the governing body for one area which included the town and the countryside, Hayle Town Council would prefer division along the railway line dividing it into two sections. The numbers would still work in each area, perhaps needing a minor amendment. It was basically a mirror image of the two wards that Hayle Town Council would like to achieve.

40. The panel noted the recommendations relating to Gwinear-Gwithian Parish (201) insofar as they related to Hayle Parish.

During the debate a number of points were raised, including:

41. The LGBCE put in place town council wards following the Cornwall Council Electoral Review and their consent would be needed for any change;
42. The local Member was happy to meet with officers to discuss alternative options, such as 3 wards each with 5 Members. The local concern was that with the current split, one part of the town could always out vote the other as they had significantly more Members representing them;
43. It was suggested that officers could meet with the clerk to Hayle Town Council to offer some advice.

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Frost and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the Panel is minded to authorise officers to work with Hayle Town Council to prepare a scheme for consideration by the LGBCE.

### **203 St Erth**

44. In relation to the submission by Gwinear-Gwithian Parish (201) to transfer those properties in St Erth Parish which are part of the hamlet of Fraddam,

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

for the reasons set out in the report to the panel on pages 138 to 140 of appendix 3;

45. St Erth Parish Council has also indicated that it wished to realign the boundaries between St Erth Parish and Ludgvan Parish (101) along the western approach to the St Erth roundabout. The panel noted that this would be considered together with the proposal from Ludgvan Parish Council.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Wood and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish boundary with Gwinear-Gwithian Parish at Fraddam.

#### **204 St Ives**

46. The local Member questioned why St Ives Parish will have four wards and requested that the LG BCE be questioned about this; and
47. Concern was raised that the new division names did not reflect the local areas.

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the Panel authorises officers to work with St Ives Town Council to prepare a scheme for Town Council ward boundaries and names for consideration by the LG BCE.

#### **205 Towednack**

48. Towednack Parish Council indicated that it agreed with Ludgvan Parish Council (101) that the village of Nancledra should be united within one area but consider that should be within the parish of Towednack. Towednack Parish Council objected to Ludgvan's proposal to include Towednack as a ward of Ludgvan and presented evidence at the public engagement meeting that Towednack residents identify with Towednack Parish which has a separate community identity to that of Ludgvan and the panel considered that this would better reflect the identities and interests of the community in that area and secure more effective and convenient community governance;
49. It was noted that the majority of Nancledra lies within Towednack Parish.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Frost and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the community of Nancledra should be united within the parish of Towednack as shown in the map on page 160 of the appendix to the report with the precise boundaries being subject to public consultation during stage 3 of the Review.



Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**CNA 3 - Helston and South Kerrier**

**301 Breage**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

**302 Crowan**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that

1. The warding in the parish would be removed as an internal matter for the Parish Council; and
2. No change be made in relation to submissions for boundary changes to Crowan Parish by Gwinear-Gwithian Parish Council (201) as it was not considered that this better reflects the identities and interests of the community in that area and secures more effective and convenient community governance.

**303 Cury**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

**304 Germoe**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

**305 Grade-Ruan**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

**306 Gunwalloe**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

**307 Gweek**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

**308 Helston**

50. It was highlighted that the proposed Wheal Oak area boundary change was supported by the two parish councils involved, Helston and Wendron;
51. It was noted that Helston linked to Wheal Oak with schools, paths and public transport routes. The HX1 development had 99 houses planned of which 24 had already been built and 8 were occupied. Connectivity had already been established to the town and that had assisted in the appeal being successful;
52. It was not felt that the Helston Town Council boundary proposal was appropriate as it was not considered that this better reflects the identities and interests of the community in that area or secures more effective and convenient community governance;
53. It was felt that the occupants of the houses that would directly be affected should be asked for their views as clarity was needed and it was noted that the consultation in the new year would include everyone living in the area;
54. Urban extensions should be considered for new boundaries. Wheal Oak was clearly attached to the edge of Helston and therefore looked to already be part of the town;
55. It was noted that it was difficult to evidence community links for any areas that had no residents; and
56. Details of the planning status of any areas that had planning permission or were allocated for development was requested for all future Panel

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

meetings as this evidence would be taken into consideration when the Panel was making its recommendations.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor Ekinsmyth and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area edged red (Wheal Oak) on the map on page 11 of Appendix 3 to the report, be transferred to Helston Parish as it is considered that this better reflects the identities and interests of the community in that area and secures more effective and convenient community governance.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor Ekinsmyth and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** to further extend the boundary with Helston to include the area edged red on page 7 of Appendix 3 to the report that has outline and detailed planning permission as it is considered that this better reflects the identities and interests of the community in that area and secures more effective and convenient community governance.

As the local Member, Councillor M Thomas abstained from the vote.

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the submission on page 9 of Appendix 3 to the report not be supported as it is not considered that this better reflects the identities and interests of the community in that area and secures more effective and convenient community governance.

57. The panel considered that the number of members should be increased in line with the request from Helston Town Council but did not have enough information to adjust the internal ward boundaries.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor M Brown and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that

1. the number of Members is increased 12 to 14 as it is considered that this better reflects the identities and interests of the community in that area and secures more effective and convenient community governance; and
2. the Head of Councillor Support and Democratic Process be authorised, in consultation with the Chairman and Vice Chairman of the Panel, to bring forward a proposal to a future meeting in relation to ward boundaries.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**309 Landewednack**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

**310 Manaccan**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

**311 Mawgan-in-Meneage**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

**312 Mullion**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

**313 Porthleven**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

**314 Sithney**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

### **315 St Anthony-in-Meneage**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

### **316 St Keverne**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

### **317 St Martin-in-Meneage**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

### **318 Wendron**

58. Wendron Parish Council had sought to remove the warding of the parish and reduce the number of councillors from 15 to 11 due to recruitment issues. Members noted that the filling of vacancies may be a temporary issue;

59. It was noted that the Wheal Oak area of Wendron Parish was to be recommended to be transferred to Helston Parish (308).

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the warding of the parish be removed.

It was proposed by Councillor Fitter and seconded by Councillor Kenny that Wendron Parish Council remain at 15 Councillors.

As an amendment it was proposed by Councillor Frost and seconded by Councillor M Thomas that Wendron Parish Council be reduced to 11 Councillors.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

On a show of hands the amendment was won.

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that that Wendron Parish Council be reduced to 11 Councillors.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**CNA 4 - Camborne, Pool, Illogan, Redruth**

**401 Camborne**

60. Camborne Town Council indicated that it wished to unite all of Tuckingmill Valley Park within Camborne Parish rather than it be shared with Carn Brea Parish (403), but there had been two local consultations that had produced conflicting results;
61. There had been a suggestion at the public meeting that the boundary could be linked to the new Cornwall Council electoral division boundary although it was noted that parish divisions got changed very infrequently and that Cornwall Council divisions were reviewed approximately every ten years; and
62. On balance it was considered that although the use of a road is helpful to delineate a clear boundary between parishes, this does not override the importance of community identity.

It was proposed by Councillor Wood, seconded by Councillor Rowse and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements as it was not considered that this better reflects the identities and interests of the community in that area and secures more effective and convenient community governance and for the public consultation, in stage 3 of the Review, to seek to establish the views of residents;

**402 Carharrack**

63. It was noted that no comments had been received from Carharrack regarding the boundary change suggested by Gwennap (404). Nevertheless it was considered that this would better reflect the identities and interests of the community in that area and secure more effective and convenient community governance.

It was proposed by Councillor Frost, seconded by Councillor Thomas and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the south side of Consols Road as shown in the area edged red on the map on page 43 of Appendix 3 to the report, be transferred to Carharrack Parish from Gwennap Parish (404) as it is considered that this better reflects the identities and interests of the community in that area and secures more effective and convenient community governance.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

#### 403 Carn Brea

64. The request that had been received from Carn Brea Parish Council to reverse the Local Government Boundary Commission England (LGBCE) changes to the warding and councillor numbers would require consent from the LGBCE should Members propose this change.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Kenny and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements and officers contact Carn Brea Parish Council to advise them on how they might be able to increase their number of Councillors.

#### 404 Gwennap

65. Gwennap Parish Council wished to adjust its boundary with St Day Parish (409) so that the entirety of the St Day rugby field lies in St Day Parish and this is supported by St Day Parish Council.

It was proposed by Councillor Frost, seconded by Councillor Kenny and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the change to the boundary as detailed on the map on page 44 of Appendix 3 to the report be made as it is considered that this better reflects the identities and interests of the community in that area and secures more effective and convenient community governance.

#### 405 Illogan

66. Illogan Parish Council wished to reduce the number of Councillors from 9 to 7 in the Illogan ward to reflect the balance of Councillors desired across the three wards in the parish.

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED** to defer for information on the electorate data to be brought back to the meeting on 15 November 2019.

**At the meeting on the 15<sup>th</sup> November Illogan was further discussed as follows:**

67. Illogan Parish Council proposed to reduce the number of councillors from 9 to 7 in the Illogan ward. The panel considered this matter at its meeting



Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

on the 14<sup>th</sup> October 2019 and agreed to defer the decision on reducing the number of councillors in the Illogan parish ward until additional information is provided by officers to inform the decision.

68. Officers provided statistics showing that reducing the number of councillors for the Illogan ward kept the parish ward's average councillor elector ratio within acceptable limits, however concern was raised that if the number of Councillors was reduced then it could prove difficult to raise it again in the future should the need arise.
69. It was noted that the local Member had suggested that as there appeared to be no strong feeling either way then no change would seem to be the appropriate decision;

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor M Thomas and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the number of Councillors for the Illogan Ward of Illogan Parish remain at 9.

#### **406 Lanner**

70. Redruth Town Council (408) indicated that it wished to move its boundary south into Lanner at the top of Lanner Hill (A393) as shown on the map edged red on page 48 of appendix 3.
71. Lanner Parish Council was of the view that the area had always been associated with Lanner Parish and it was noted that all but one of the dwellings that had been canvassed had expressed a preference to remain in Lanner Parish as there was a strong community link;
72. It was further noted that the boundaries for this area had been examined in the recent Electoral Review and this Panel had supported the current boundaries.
73. Lanner Parish Council indicated that it wished to increase the number of councillors from 9 to 11 to enable the Parish Council to focus on increased activities.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Frost and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements as it was not considered that this better reflects the identities and interests of the community in that area and secures more effective and convenient community governance and for the public consultation, in stage 3 of the Review, to seek to establish the views of residents.

It was proposed by Councillor Wood, seconded by Councillor Kenny and

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that Lanner Parish Council increase from 9 to 11 Councillors as that would secure more effective and convenient community governance.

**407 Portreath**

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor Wood and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that

1. the boundary is moved to include within St Agnes Parish (604) the Chapel Meadow development currently within Portreath Parish on the basis that the parish councils are in agreement; and
2. the number of Councillors for Portreath Parish Council is increased from 7 to 9 as that would secure more effective and convenient community governance.

**408 Redruth**

It was proposed by Councillor Wood, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements for the reasons set out in relation to Lanner Parish Council (406).

**409 St Day**

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor Wood and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** to adjust the boundary with Gwennap Parish (404) to include all of St Day Rugby Ground within St Day Parish.

**410 Stithians**

74. Stithians Parish Council indicated that it wished to see no change to its parish boundaries. Two submissions were received from local residents requesting that the village of Longdowns be transferred to Mabe Parish (504).

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Wood and

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the boundary between the parishes of Stithians and Mabe as it was not considered that this better reflects the identities and interests of the community in that area and secures more effective and convenient community governance and for the public consultation, in stage 3 of the Review, to seek to establish the views of residents.

75. St Gluvias Parish Council (509) proposed transferring the Kennall Vale area shown edged red on the map on page 108 of appendix 3 from Stithians Parish to St Gluvias Parish on the basis that the only access to the Kennall Vale sanctuary was from Ponsanooth and that this area was maintained by St Gluvias Parish Council. It was noted that the land owner did not appear to want a change to the current situation.

It was proposed by Councillor Mackrory and seconded by Councillor Fitter that the boundary be altered to bring Kennall Vale woods into St Gluvias Parish.

On a show of hands the proposal was lost.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor M Thomas and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the boundary between the parishes of Stithians and St Gluvias as it was not considered that this better reflects the identities and interests of the community in that area and secures more effective and convenient community governance.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**CNA 5 - Falmouth and Penryn**

**501 Budock**

76. There had been many discussions between Budock Parish Council and Penryn Town Council regarding changes to the boundary between the areas; and both parishes agreed that the Penryn by-pass (A39) made a natural boundary between parishes.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor M Thomas and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the Northern boundary of Budock Parish be realigned to where it joins with Penryn Parish as shown edged red on the map on page 91 of appendix 3, so that the land North of the A39 be transferred from Budock Parish to Penryn Parish (507) as it is considered that this better reflects the identities and interests of the community in that area and secures more effective and convenient community governance.

77. So far as the land in Budock Parish, south of the A39 as shown on the map on page 91 of Appendix 3 to the report is concerned,

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor M Thomas and

**RESOLVED** that a decision on that boundary, as proposed by Falmouth Town Council (503), be deferred to the Panel meeting on 15 November 2019.

78. The realigning of the boundary to Bickland Water Road, as requested by Budock Parish Council was a small strip of land within Falmouth Parish that was approximately 50 feet wide and it would make the road the new natural boundary between Budock Parish and Falmouth Parish;
79. Concern was raised that, if accepted, this move could require the Divisional boundary to also be moved and that would require consultation with the LGBCE;
80. It was noted that there were currently 3 estates being developed along Bickland Water Road;
81. Members felt more information is required before a decision could be taken on the east/west boundary, including whether Budock Church would be moved out of Budock Parish and any future housing developments in the affected areas. Maps and planning data were requested in order to make this decision.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Kenny and

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**RESOLVED** to defer the issues relating to the boundary between the parishes of Budock and Falmouth to the meeting on 15 November 2019.

**At the meeting on the 15<sup>th</sup> November the following was discussed:**

82. At its meeting on the 14<sup>th</sup> of October 2019, the panel considered a number of proposals relating to Budock Parish. The panel agreed that the land north of the A39 as shown edged red on the map on page 91 of appendix 3, be transferred from Budock Parish to Penryn Parish (507).
83. A decision on the proposal by Falmouth Town Council relating to land south of the A39 as shown edged red on the map on page 91 was deferred, as was a decision on whether Bickland Water Road should be the natural boundary between Budock Parish and Falmouth Parish.
84. In summary, Budock Parish Council proposed to realign its boundary with Falmouth Parish east to the mid-point of Union Road, Bickland Hill, Bickland Water Road, making the realigned boundary more definable along an already established feature. It stated that the realigned boundary would offer the opportunity for Falmouth to take over a large area of housing development land which is sited in Budock Parish and Penryn Parish to the east of the Hillhead roundabout and running down towards Commercial Road, and as such is more closely allied to Falmouth.
85. The Budock local Member advised that the new boundary that had been requested by Falmouth Town Council was not a logical one and it did go considerably past a number of developments and it also cut across farms splitting the accommodation across parishes. It also suggested splitting the hamlet of Churchtown and therefore it appeared to simply be an arbitrary line.
86. Budock Parish Council had used roads as natural boundaries for its proposal and it had also given consideration to the expansion of Falmouth Town. The whole parish had been consulted and there was an overwhelming wish to remain within Budock Parish. Falmouth Town Council had not consulted Budock Parish Council regarding the proposed changes.
87. Having considered the matter in some detail the panel was of the view that sufficient evidence had been presented that the inclusion within Falmouth Parish of those areas that had been granted planning permission to the west of the Bickland Water Road would better reflect the identities and interests of the community in that area and that the Bickland Water Road (and its continuations) would be a more appropriate parish boundary south of the junction with Mongleath Road.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Eddy and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the boundary between Budock Parish and Falmouth Parish runs north from the Falmouth southern boundary with Mawnan along Maenporth Road into Pennance Hill into Bickland Water Road, to include all of the Taylor Wimpey development off Bickland Water Road, around the industrial estate (transferring from Budock Parish to Falmouth Parish) and the development land at Lower Kergilliack Farm (Bickland Hill), north-east along Hillhead Road turning east into Kergilliack Road heading north-east around the Union Corner development and then south along Union Road to the existing northern boundary of the Falmouth Parish.

### **502 Constantine**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

### **503 Falmouth**

88. Falmouth Town Council would like to take over the park and ride and the Dales site from Penryn Parish (507) and the area to the west of the current boundary from Budock Parish;

It was proposed by Councillor M Thomas, seconded by Councillor Kenny and

**RESOLVED** to defer the issues relating to the proposals from Falmouth Town Council as shown edged red on the map on page 95 of appendix 3 to the report to the Panel meeting on 15 November 2019.

89. Falmouth Town Council wishes one ward to retain the historically significant name of Smithick which was removed by the LGBCE 2019 electoral review;

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED** to invite Falmouth Town Council to present the Panel with proposals for the names of the town council wards that they would prefer.

**At the meeting on the 15<sup>th</sup> November 2019 the following was discussed:**

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

90. At its meeting on the 14<sup>th</sup> October 2019 the panel deferred any decision relating to Falmouth Parish.
91. Falmouth Town Council had proposed to extend its northern boundary of Trescobeas ward north to A39 into Budock Parish (501) and Penryn Parish (507) because the current boundary pre-dates the A39, the Town Council provides services in those areas and the area is also allocated for housing for the expansion of Falmouth. It further proposed to either incorporate the whole of Budock Parish into Falmouth Parish as a ward of Falmouth Parish or extend Falmouth Parish's boundary west into Budock Parish to reflect developments and maintain a green buffer.
92. The Town Council also proposed that one ward retain the historically significant name of Smithick which was removed by the Local Government Boundary Commission for England (LGBCE) recommendations of 2019.
93. The Local Member reported that Penryn Town Council would prefer to stay as it was however it was content for the marina to be moved within the boundaries of Falmouth Town Council.
94. Having regard to the evidence before them, the panel considered that there was not sufficient justification to change the current boundary between Falmouth Parish and Budock Parish to align with the A39 and continue to the Penryn River.
95. For the reasons set out in relation to Budock Parish, the panel was of the view that the boundary between Budock Parish and Falmouth Parish should be changed as set out in paragraph 12 above.

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish boundary between Falmouth Parish and Penryn Parish.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Eddy and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the boundary between Budock Parish and Falmouth Parish runs north from the Falmouth southern boundary with Mawnan along Maenporth Road into Pennance Hill into Bickland Water Road, to include all of the Taylor Wimpey development off Bickland Water Road, around the industrial estate (transferring from Budock Parish to Falmouth Parish) and the development land at Lower Kergilliack Farm (Bickland Hill), north-east along Hillhead Road turning east into Kergilliack Road heading north-east around the Union Corner development and the south along Union Road to the existing northern boundary of the Falmouth Parish.

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**RESOLVED TO** invite Falmouth Town Council to inform the Electoral Review Panel of the preferred names for their Parish Wards.

**504 Mabe**

96. The Penryn campus of the University of Exeter is currently within Mabe Parish. Penryn Town Council (507) has requested that this area be transferred from Mabe Parish to Penryn Parish;
97. The panel noted that Mabe Parish Council worked closely with the University and did not want any changes that would affect this whereas Penryn Town Council would like to transfer that area as most of the students used the services of Penryn Town.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Kenny and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the boundary between the parishes of Mabe and Penryn as it was not considered that this better reflects the identities and interests of the community in that area and secures more effective and convenient community governance.

**505 Mawnan**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

**506 Mylor**

98. Half of Perran Foundry is currently in Mylor Parish and half in Perranarworthal Parish (508). The only access across the river running through the foundry site is from the Perranarworthal side;
99. It was reported that the residents of Perran Foundry looked to Perranarworthal village as their community.

It was proposed by Councillor M Thomas, seconded by Councillor Kenny and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area of the Perran Foundry site be transferred from Mylor Parish to Perranarworthal Parish as it is considered that this better reflects the identities and interests of the community in that area.



Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

## 507 Penryn

100. It was noted that that the panel had recommended that no change be made to the boundary between the parishes of Mabe (504) and Penryn as it was not considered that this better reflects the identities and interests of the community in that area or secures more effective and convenient community governance.
101. It was further noted that the Panel had already agreed to recommend that the Northern boundary of Budock Parish (501) be realigned to where it joins with Penryn Parish as shown edged red on the map on page 91 of appendix 3, so that the land North of the A39 be transferred from Budock Parish to Penryn Parish as it is considered that this better reflects the identities and interests of the community in that area and secures more effective and convenient community governance.
102. It was also noted that the panel had agreed to defer to the Panel meeting on 15 November 2019 the issues relating to the proposals from Falmouth Town Council (503) which included adjustments to their boundary with Penryn Parish as shown edged red on the map on page 95 of appendix 3 to the report.
103. Penryn Town Council has further requested an extension of its northern boundary into St Gluvias Parish (509) to the Treluswell roundabout / B3292 which would also include the Penrose site allocated for student accommodation.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Kenny and

### **RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL that**

- 1) that no change be made to the boundary between the parishes of Mabe and Penryn as it was not considered that this better reflects the identities and interests of the community in that area or secures more effective and convenient community governance.
- 2) that the southern boundary of Penryn Parish be realigned to where it joins with Budock Parish as shown edged red on the map on page 91 of appendix 3, so that the land north of the A39 be transferred from Budock Parish to Penryn Parish (507) as it is considered that this better reflects the identities and interests of the community in that area and secures more effective and convenient community governance.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

3) no change be made to the northern boundary between the parishes of Penryn and St Gluvias as it was not considered that this better reflects the identities and interests of the community in that area.

**At the meeting on the 15<sup>th</sup> November 2019 the following was discussed:**

104. At its meeting on the 14<sup>th</sup> October 2019 the panel made various recommendations in relation to the Penryn Parish boundary with Mabe Parish (504), and Budock Parish (501). A decision regarding the proposals from Falmouth Town Council (503) to adjust their parish boundary with Penryn Parish was deferred.
105. Falmouth Town Council had proposed to extend its northern boundary of Trescobeas ward north to A39 into Budock Parish and Penryn Parish because the current boundary pre-dates the A39, the Town Council provides services in those areas and the area is also allocated for housing for the expansion of Falmouth.
106. Penryn Town Council proposed to move the Penryn Parish boundary to include the rest of the land at the old Dales site as shown edged red on the map on page 106 of the appendix 3 of the report. Penryn Town Council also proposed that the Falmouth Parish boundary be moved towards Penryn Parish, to enable the Park & Float and The Marina to become part of the parish of Falmouth in exchange for the Dales site.
107. The panel did not consider that sufficient evidence had been provided that such a change to the parish boundary would better reflect the identities and interests of the community in that area or secure more effective or convenient community governance.

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish boundary between Falmouth Parish and Penryn Parish.

**508 Perranarworthal**

108. For the reasons set out in relation to the proposals affecting Mylor Parish (506);

It was proposed by Councillor M Thomas, seconded by Councillor Kenny and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area of the Perran Foundry site be transferred from Mylor Parish to Perranarworthal Parish as it is

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

considered that this better reflects the identities and interests of the community in that area.

109. For the reasons set out in relation to the proposals affecting St Gluvias Parish (509);

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor Rowse and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area North East of Ponsanooth including Kemyel House and Chestnut Farm be transferred from Perranarworthal Parish to St Gluvias Parish (509) as it is considered that this better reflects the identities and interests of the community in that area and secures more effective and convenient community governance.

### 509 St Gluvias

110. St Gluvias Parish Council proposed transferring the Kennall Vale area shown edged red on the map on page 108 of appendix 3 from Stithians Parish (410) to St Gluvias Parish on the basis that the only access to the Kennall Vale sanctuary was from Ponsanooth and that this area was maintained by St Gluvias Parish Council. It was noted that the land owner did not appear to want a change to the current situation.

It was proposed by Councillor Mackrory and seconded by Councillor Fitter that the boundary be altered to bring Kennall Vale woods into St Gluvias Parish.

On a show of hands the proposal was lost.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor M Thomas and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the boundary between the parishes of Stithians and St Gluvias as it was not considered that this better reflects the identities and interests of the community in that area or secures more effective and convenient community governance.

111. St Gluvias Parish Council also proposed that the area edged red in Perranworthal Parish (508) as shown on the map on page 108 of appendix 3 be transferred to St Gluvias Parish for the reasons set out in their submission. The Parish Council also expressed a wish to change that parish name to Ponsanooth.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor Rowse and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area North East of Ponsanooth including Kemyel House and Chestnut Farm be transferred from Perranarworthal Parish (508) to St Gluvias Parish as it is considered that this better reflects the identities and interests of the community in that area and secures more effective and convenient community governance.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor M Thomas and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that St Gluvias Parish changes its name to Ponsanooth Parish as it is considered that this better reflects the identity of the community.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**CNA 6 - St Agnes and Perranporth**

**601 Crantock**

112. Crantock Parish Council proposed to extend to the south its boundary with Cubert Parish (602) to include the solar farm and the Trevella campsite which are currently split between Crantock Parish and Cubert Parish.
113. The panel was of the view that the area of the campsite in Cubert Parish should be transferred to Crantock Parish as this would unite the two parts of the campsite into one parish which would secure more effective and convenient community governance for the reasons put forward by Crantock Parish. It was noted that Cubert parish had not requested that all the campsite be transferred into its area.
114. No substantive evidence was presented to the panel that the transfer of the solar farm to Crantock Parish would secure more effective and convenient community governance.
115. Further, Cornwall Council received one submission directly from residents seeking to reduce the number of councillors on Cubert Parish Council or group Cubert Parish with Crantock Parish due to the difficulty in filling parish councillor positions. The panel noted that neither parish council appear to have been consulted on this proposal.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the boundary between Crantock Parish and Cubert Parish in relation to the solar farm but that the area of the campsite in Cubert Parish bounded by the road to the south of the current boundary and the access road to Treringey Farm be transferred to Crantock Parish from Cubert Parish.

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Kenny and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made regarding reducing the number of Councillors in Cubert Parish and that Cubert Parish and Crantock Parish not be grouped.

**602 Cubert**

116. Cubert Parish Council proposed to extend its boundary to the west into Perranzabuloe Parish (603) to include the new housing estate at Hoblyn's Cove as Cubert Parish Council considered that the existing and proposed residents in this area have and would have strong economic and

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

community links with Cubert and better align with the Cornwall Council electoral divisions coming into effect in 2021.

117. Additional comments made to the panel included that Penhale military camp attended services in Cubert, not Perranzabuloe; that the whole of Penhale Sands should stay within one parish; and that there was a covenant in place to protect the area between the land with planning permission and the military site which was heath land which had been signed by Cubert Parish Council but not Perranzabuloe Parish Council.
118. The panel noted Cubert Parish Council's comments on the distances between Hoblyn's Cove and other communities in Perranzabuloe Parish. It also noted that Perranzabuloe Parish contains a number of disparate communities which gives the parish its community identity.

It was proposed by Councillor Adrian Harvey, seconded by Councillor Joanna Kenny and

**RESOLVED AT COUNCIL** that the area of land at the north end of Perranzabuloe Parish, that includes Penhale Camp (Hoblyns Cove), shall be transferred into Cubert Parish.

119. Crantock Parish Council (601) proposed to extend to the south its boundary with Cubert Parish to include the solar farm and the Trevella campsite which are currently split between Crantock Parish and Cubert Parish. The panel supported the transfer of the campsite for the reasons set out in relation to Crantock Parish above.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the boundary between Crantock Parish and Cubert Parish in relation to the solar farm but that the area of the campsite in Cubert Parish bounded by the road to the south of the current boundary and the access road to Treringey Farm be transferred to Crantock Parish from Cubert Parish.

### **603 Perranzabuloe**

It was proposed by Councillor Adrian Harvey, seconded by Councillor Joanna Kenny and

**RESOLVED AT COUNCIL** that the area of land at the north end of Perranzabuloe Parish, that includes Penhale Camp (Hoblyns Cove), shall be transferred into Cubert Parish.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

### 604 St Agnes

120. St Agnes Parish Council proposed to 1) move its boundary west with Portreath Parish (407) to unite the outlying areas of Porthtowan with the centre of the village which already lies within St Agnes and more closely identifies with St Agnes than Portreath. 2) Move its boundary south east with Chacewater Parish (701) to the A30 to unite all of Blackwater within St Agnes Parish to reflect the community links between Blackwater and the rest of St Agnes Parish.
121. St Agnes Parish Council considered that the residents at the edge of Porthtowan village identify with St Agnes Parish and not with Portreath Parish. Portreath Parish Council supports the proposal.
122. In relation to the Blackwater area, Chacewater Parish Council proposed to unite all of Blackwater within Chacewater Parish.
123. The panel noted that the vast bulk of Blackwater is in St Agnes Parish and for the reasons put forward by St Agnes Parish Council the panel considered, on balance, that the A30 would be the appropriate boundary in which case the Blackwater area would transfer to St Agnes Parish as this would appear to secure more effective and convenient community governance within the area and better reflect the identities and interest of the community in that area.

It was proposed by Councillor M Thomas, seconded by Councillor Eddy and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area edged in red as shown on the map on page 46 of appendix 3 to the report be transferred to St Agnes Parish from Chacewater Parish.

**IT WAS NOTED** that at the panel meeting on the 14<sup>th</sup> October 2019 it had been agreed that the Chapel Meadow development currently within Portreath Parish would be transferred to St Agnes Parish on the basis that the parish councils are in agreement.

### 605 St Allen

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**606 St Newlyn East**

124. St Newlyn East Parish Council proposed to move its boundary with St Enoder Parish (903) to include all of Mitchell village within St Newlyn East Parish.
125. The panel noted that although planning permission for a housing development has been granted for land adjoining the current parish boundary the extent of any further development is not known and so the extent of any boundary change is uncertain.

It was proposed by Councillor Adrian Harvey, seconded by Councillor Dick Cole that

**RESOLVED AT COUNCIL** that the area defined and agreed between the two affected parishes, including the identified fruit farms and 2 dwellings, be transferred from St Enoder Parish (903) to St Newlyn East Parish as this change would better reflect the identities and interests of the community in that area and secure more effective and convenient community governance.



Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**CNA 7 - Truro and The Roseland**

**701 Chacewater**

126. Chacewater Parish Council proposed to move its boundary with St Agnes Parish (604) to unite all of Blackwater within Chacewater Parish together with consequential changes should that proposal be accepted.
127. For the reasons set out above in relation to St Agnes Parish, the panel considered that this proposal not be supported at this time.

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area edged in red as shown on the map on page 46 of appendix 3 to the report be transferred to St Agnes Parish from Chacewater Parish and that no other change be made to the parish arrangements for Chacewater Parish.

**702 Cuby**

128. Cuby Parish Meeting proposed to amalgamate with Tregony Parish (717) to facilitate more efficient governance, community identity and shared assets and this was also proposed by Tregony Parish Council. Cornwall Council received one submission directly from residents which also sought to amalgamate Cuby and Tregony parishes for the same reasons.
129. The panel noted that comments would be made during stage 3 of the Review regarding the name for the new parish and the number of councillors required.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Mackrory and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the parishes of Cuby and Tregony be amalgamated to form a new parish to be called 'Tregony with Cuby' with an unwarded parish council of 10 councillors.

**703 Feock and 704 Gerrans**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements for the parishes of Feock and Gerrans.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

### 705 Grampound with Creed

130. Grampound with Creed Parish Council proposed to move its boundary with Probus Parish (710) to unite all of Grampound village within Grampound with Creed Parish due to a shared community identity and to secure more effective governance. It also proposed that the parish no longer be warded. Cornwall Council received one submission directly from residents which sought no change to the existing parish boundaries.
131. The panel noted the consultation which had been carried out by the Cornwall Councillor for that division, and the support given to the adjustment in the parish boundary with Probus Parish. The Panel considered that the proposed boundary change and the request to remove the warding of Grampound with Creed Parish would appear to secure more effective and convenient community governance within the area and better reflect the identities and interest of the community in that area.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor Ekinsmyth and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area edged red on the map on page 118 of the report be transferred from Probus Parish to Grampound with Creed Parish.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Kenny and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that Grampound with Creed Parish be no longer warded.

132. St Mewan Parish Council (1008) proposed to transfer from Grampound with Creed Parish to St Mewan Parish an area west of Hewas Water and south of the A390 as shown edged red on the map on page 217 of appendix 3 to the report to include the whole of one business unit currently divided between the two parishes.
133. The panel did not understand the rationale for the proposal and no substantive evidence was produced that this would better reflect the identities and interests of the community in that area or secure more effective and convenient community governance. It was noted that any comments from the Hewas Water Action Group made during stage 3 of the Review could then be considered by the panel.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor Eddy and

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the boundary between Grampound with Creed Parish and St Mewan Parish.

**706 Kea**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

**707 Kenwyn**

134. Truro City Council (718) proposed to transfer to Truro Parish the Gloweth ward of Kenwyn Parish as shown edged red on the map on page 126 of the appendix 3 of the report, to include Truro College, the properties in Gloweth and the Treliske hospital, as the City Council considered this area to be an urban extension of Truro City.

135. The submission from Kenwyn Parish Council sets out the links between the parish council and its various centres including Gloweth and the hospital site. It also refers to the greenspaces that currently separate Truro Parish from Kenwyn Parish.

136. No substantive evidence had been submitted by either Kenwyn Parish Council or Truro City Council as to the community identity of that area or that the adjustment of this boundary would lead to more effective and convenient local government. Indeed, the transfer of such a significant area from Kenwyn Parish could affect the services currently delivered by the parish council.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny and seconded by Councillor M Thomas that Gloweth and the Richard Lander School be included within the boundary of Truro City Council.

On a show of hands the vote was lost.

It was proposed by Councillor Fitter, seconded by Councillor Ekinsmyth and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the boundary between Kenwyn Parish and Truro Parish.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

### 708 Ladock

137. Ladock Parish Council proposed to adjust its boundary with Probus Parish (710) to unite one building on the edge of Grampound Road with rest of the village which otherwise is in entirely within Ladock Parish. Ladock Parish Council also indicated that it wished the Cornwall Council Electoral Division name for that area to be changed from 'Ladock' to 'Ladock, St Erme and Probus'.
138. The panel considered there is an obvious link between the area affected and the village and the change shows a clear boundary.

It was proposed by Councillor Eddy, seconded by Councillor M Thomas and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area edged red on the map on page 120 of the appendix 3 of the Report, be transferred from Probus Parish to Ladock Parish.

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no action be taken to change the electoral division name.

### 709 Philleigh

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

### 710 Probus

139. Grampound with Creed Parish Council (705) proposed to move its boundary with Probus Parish to unite all of Grampound village within Grampound with Creed Parish due to a shared community identity and to secure more effective governance.
140. Ladock Parish Council (708) proposed to adjust its boundary with Probus Parish to unite one building on the edge of Grampound Road with the rest of the village which otherwise is in entirely within Ladock Parish.
141. St Clement Parish Council (712) proposed to transfer from Probus Parish into St Clement Parish the area between Woodlands Farm and Venton Berron as shown edged red on the map on page 121 of the appendix 3 of the Report.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

142. For the reasons set out in relation to the respective parishes of Grampound with Creed and Ladock, the panel supported the proposed changes.

143. In relation to St Clement Parish, however, the panel did not consider that sufficient substantive evidence had been put forward that the proposed change would better reflect the identities and interests of the community in that area or secure more effective and convenient community governance.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor Ekinsmyth and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area edged red on the map on page 118 of the report be transferred from Probus Parish to Grampound with Creed Parish.

It was proposed by Councillor Eddy, seconded by Councillor M Thomas and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area edged red on the map on page 120 of the appendix 3 of the Report, be transferred from Probus Parish to Ladock Parish.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor Fitter and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements in relation to the boundary between Probus Parish and St Clement Parish.

### **711 Ruan Laniorne**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

### **712 St Clement**

144. St Clement Parish Council proposed to expand its boundary to 1) incorporate the entire village of Tresillian, part of which is currently in St Michael Penkivel Parish (716) and incorporate part of the rural nearby surrounds of Tresillian to create a 'clear' boundary; 2) Incorporate a small area of St Erme Parish (713) to create a 'clear' boundary; 3) Incorporate part of the rural historical part of St Clement Parish, currently in Truro

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

Parish (718) to create a clear boundary and incorporate the war memorial of St Clement Parish; and 4) transfer from Probus Parish (710) into St Clement Parish the area between Woodlands Farm and Venton Berron; The proposals are shown edged red on the map on page 121 of the appendix 3 of the Report.

145. In addition, Truro City Council proposed the transfer from St Clement Parish of the land at Sunny Corner as shown edged red on the map on page 128 of the appendix 3 of the report.
146. The panel considered that no substantive evidence as to how the proposal in relation to Tresillian would better secure the identity and interests of the local community or more effective and convenient local government has been put forward to date and it appears from the submissions from both St Clement and St Michael Penkivel Parish Councils that this is a controversial matter so far as local residents are concerned.
147. In relation to the proposal affecting St Erme Parish and having regard to the feedback from local residents the panel considered that no substantive evidence had been put forward that the change requested would better secure the identity and interests of the local community or more effective and convenient local government.
148. In relation to the area requested by St Clement Parish Council to be transferred from Truro Parish, the panel considered that the area edged red as shown on the map on page 127 of appendix 3 to the report together with the adjoining triangular area including the war memorial and the two industrial units, be transferred to St Clement Parish as this would better reflect the identities and interests of the community in that area. No substantive evidence has been put forward to the panel that the transfer of the wider area requested by St Clement would better secure the identity and interests of the local community or more effective and convenient local government.
149. In relation to Probus Parish, however, the panel did not consider that sufficient substantive evidence had been put forward that the proposed change would better reflect the identities and interests of the community in that area or secure more effective and convenient community governance.
150. The panel did not consider that sufficient substantive evidence had been put forward that a transfer of Sunny Corner would better secure more effective and convenient community governance.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Eddy and

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the boundary between St Clement Parish and St Michael Penkivel Parish in relation to Tresillian.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor M Brown and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the boundary between St Clement Parish and St Erme Parish.

It was proposed by Councillor Eddy, seconded by Councillor Wood and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area edged red on the map on page 127 of appendix 3 to the report together with the adjoining triangle of land that encompasses the war memorial and the two industrial units be transferred from Truro Parish to St Clement Parish.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole and seconded by Councillor Wood that the remainder of the land to the south of Penair School, as shown on the map on page 124 of appendix 3 to the report be transferred to be within the boundary of St Clement Parish.

On a show of hands the vote was lost.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor Fitter and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the boundary between St Clement Parish and Probus Parish.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Fitter and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the Sunny Corner boundary.

### **713 St Erme**

151. St Clement Parish Council (712) had proposed to incorporate a small area of St Erme Parish to create a 'clear' boundary;
152. Having regard to the feedback from local residents the panel considered that no substantive evidence had been put forward that the change requested would better secure the identity and interests of the local community or more effective and convenient local government.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor M Brown and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the boundary between St Clement Parish and St Erme Parish.

**714 St Just-in-Roseland and 715 St Michael Caerhays**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements for the parishes of St Just-in-Roseland and St Michael Caerhays.

**716 St Michael Penkivel**

153. St Clement Parish Council (712) proposed to expand its boundary to 1) incorporate the entire village of Tresillian, part of which is currently in St Michael Penkivel Parish and incorporate part of the rural nearby surrounds of Tresillian to create a 'clear' boundary

154. The panel considered that no substantive evidence as to how the proposal in relation to Tresillian would better secure the identity and interests of the local community or more effective and convenient local government has been put forward to date.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Eddy and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the boundary between St Clement Parish and St Michael Penkivel Parish in relation to Tresillian.

**717 Tregony**

155. Tregony Parish Council proposed to amalgamate with Cuby Parish Meeting (702) to facilitate more efficient governance, community identity and shared assets and this was also proposed by Cuby Parish Meeting. Cornwall Council received one submission directly from residents which also sought to amalgamate Cuby and Tregony parishes for the same reasons.

156. The panel noted that comments would be made during stage 3 of the Review regarding the name for the new parish and the number of councillors required.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Mackrory and



Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the parishes of Cuby and Tregony be amalgamated to form a new parish to be called 'Tregony with Cuby' with an unwarded parish council of 10 councillors.

**718 Truro**

157. Truro City Council proposed to transfer the Gloweth ward from Kenwyn Parish (707) as shown edged red on the map on page 126 of the appendix 3 of the report, to include Truro College, the properties in Gloweth and the Treliske hospital, as the City Council considered this area to be urban extension of Truro City.
158. The submission from Kenwyn Parish council sets out the links between the parish council and its various centres including Gloweth and the hospital site. It also refers to the greenspaces that currently separate Truro from Kenwyn.
159. No substantive evidence had been submitted by either Kenwyn Parish Council or Truro City Council as to the community identity of that area or that the adjustment of this boundary would lead to more effective and convenient local government. Indeed, the transfer of such a significant area from Kenwyn Parish could affect the services currently delivered by the parish council.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny and seconded by Councillor M Thomas that Gloweth and the Richard Lander School be included within the boundary of Truro City Council.

On a show of hands the vote was lost.

It was proposed by Councillor Fitter, seconded by Councillor Ekinsmyth and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the boundary between Kenwyn Parish and Truro Parish.

160. In relation to St Clement Parish (712), Truro City Council proposed to i) move the boundary to encompass all Penair School, run along Penair Lane; Belvedere housing would remain within the Truro boundary, loop around the war memorial, out towards the A390 and return to join Waitrose supermarket; all housing would remain within the Truro boundary but the park and ride service would remain in the St Clement Parish; ii) move the current boundary to include the whole of Sunny Corner, down the steps and re-join the current St Clement and Truro boundary.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

161. The panel considered that the area edged red as shown on the map on page 127 of appendix 3 to the report together with the adjoining triangular area including the war memorial and the two industrial units, be transferred to St Clement Parish as this would better reflect the identities and interests of the community in that area. No substantive evidence has been put forward to the panel that the transfer of the wider area requested by St Clement would better secure the identity and interests of the local community or more effective and convenient local government.
162. The panel did not consider that sufficient substantive evidence had been put forward that a transfer of Sunny Corner would better secure more effective and convenient community governance.

It was proposed by Councillor Eddy, seconded by Councillor Wood and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area edged red on the map on page 127 of appendix 3 to the report together with the adjoining triangle of land that encompasses the war memorial and the two industrial units be transferred from Truro Parish to St Clement Parish.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Fitter and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the boundary between Truro Parish and St Clement Parish at Sunny Corner.

**719 Veryan**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**CNA 8 - Newquay and St Columb**

**801 Colan**

163. Newquay Town Council (802) proposed that the southern boundary shared with Colan Parish be relocated as shown on the map on page 9 of appendix 3.
164. The Town Council also originally proposed to transfer to Colan Parish the Trewollack farmland as shown on the map on page 10 of appendix 3. The Town Council further proposed that Rialton Wood be transferred from Colan Parish to Newquay Parish.
165. Colan Parish did not wish to see any change to its existing parish boundaries but was prepared to accept the transfer of Rialton Wood, together with the transfer of the area north of the A392 and to the west of Treloggan Road to Newquay Parish.
166. The panel noted Colan Parish Council's acceptance of the Transfer of Rialton Wood and the land north of the A392 to Newquay Parish. The panel did not consider Hendra Caravan Park which is south of the A392 to be part of Newquay Town as it is a stand-alone business in a rural area. The panel noted that the residents of the Goldings development had formed a residents association and wanted to remain in Colan Parish albeit that it was noted that the Goldings development described it as being in Newquay. The panel were of the view that the A392 made a natural boundary between Newquay and any development to the south.

It was proposed by Councillor John Fitter, seconded by Councillor Peter Williams and

**RESOLVED AT COUNCIL** that the area edged in red to the south of Newquay, as shown on the amendment map at Full Council on 10<sup>th</sup> December 2019, but excluding any land to the south of the A392, be transferred from Colan Parish to Newquay Parish and that Lane will remain within Colan Parish.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny and seconded by Councillor Eddy that Trevithick Manor Estate and the Goldings (but not Hendra Holiday Park) be transferred into Newquay Parish, to meet the St Newlyn East Parish (606) boundary.

On a show of hands the vote was lost.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor Mackrory and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the Green Gap boundaries at the Quintrell Downs Green Gap and the Duchy owned land north of the Green Gap (Trewollack farmland).

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor Fitter and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area edged in red as shown on the map on page 10 of appendix 3 to the report (Rialton Wood) be transferred to Newquay Parish (802) from Colan Parish.

### **802 Newquay**

167. The proposals from Newquay Town Council in relation to Colan Parish (801) are detailed above and the panel made the following recommendations for the reasons set out above.

It was proposed by Councillor John Fitter, seconded by Councillor Peter Williams and

**RESOLVED AT COUNCIL** that the area edged in red to the south of Newquay, as shown on the amendment map at Full Council map on 10<sup>th</sup> December 2019, but excluding any land to the south of the A392, be transferred from Colan Parish to Newquay Parish and that Lane will remain within Colan Parish.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor Mackrory and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the Green Gap boundaries at the Quintrell Downs Green Gap and the Duchy owned land north of the Green Gap (Trewollack farmland).

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor Fitter and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area edged in red as shown on the map on page 10 of appendix 3 to the report (Rialton Wood) be transferred to Newquay Parish from Colan Parish.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**803 St Columb Major, 804 St Mawgan-in-Pydar and 805 St Wenn**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements for the parishes of St Columb Major, St Mawgan-in-Pydar and St Wenn.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**CNA 9 - China Clay**

**901 Roche and 902 St Dennis**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Wood and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements for the parishes of Roche and St Dennis.

**903 St Enoder**

168. St Newlyn East Parish Council (606) proposed to move its boundary with St Enoder Parish to include all of Mitchell village within St Newlyn East Parish.

169. The panel noted that although planning permission for a housing development has been granted for land adjoining the current parish boundary the extent of any further development is not known and so the extent of any boundary change is uncertain.

It was proposed by Councillor Adrian Harvey, seconded by Councillor Dick Cole that

**RESOLVED AT COUNCIL** that the area defined and agreed between the two affected parishes, including the identified fruit farms and 2 dwellings, be transferred from St Enoder Parish (903) to St Newlyn East Parish as this change would better reflect the identities and interests of the community in that area and secure more effective and convenient community governance.

**904 St Stephen-in-Brannel**

170. St Mewan Parish Council (1008) proposed to extend its boundary to include the area south of A3058 near Burngullow as shown edged red on the map on page 159 of the appendix 3 which is currently within St Stephen-in-Brannel Parish as it considered that the boundary should follow the road.

171. The panel noted that this area currently splits the china clay works.

It was proposed by Councillor Mike McLening, seconded by Councillor Matt Luke and

**RESOLVED AT COUNCIL** that no change be made to the boundary between St Stephen-in-Brannel Parish and St Mewan Parish.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

## 905 Treverbyn

172. St Austell Town Council (1005) proposed to transfer from Treverbyn Parish into St Austell Parish the following areas; namely Carclaze and Boscoppa as shown edged red on the map on page 160 of the appendix 3 of the Report; and Menacuddle Well, Trenance Wood and Trethowel Wood as shown edged red on the map on page 161 of the appendix 3 of the Report.
173. St Austell Town Council considered that the Carclaze/Boscoppa area is a natural extension of St Austell and has strong links with St Austell's schools, shops, facilities and services and that the A391 would be a strong boundary.
174. Treverbyn Parish Council considered that this area was integral to Treverbyn Parish with strong historical links to the rest of the parish and that local residents were against the proposed transfer. Further, Treverbyn Parish Council consider any transfer would affect their own financial viability due to the large number of households involved.
175. The panel did not consider that sufficient substantive evidence had been produced to demonstrate that the proposed transfer would better secure the identity and interests of the local community or secure more effective and convenient local governance.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Fitter and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the Carclaze/Boscoppa area remain within Treverbyn Parish.

176. So far as the Menacuddle Well, Trenance Wood and Trethowel Wood area was concerned both St Austell Town Council and Treverbyn Parish Council claim historical links with that area. St Austell Town Council considered all this area should be within one parish, whereas Treverbyn Parish Council and the local Member were of the view that the viaduct was the historic gateway to St Austell.
177. The panel were of the view that no substantive evidence had been produced that the proposed transfer would better reflect the identities and interests of the local community or secure more effective and convenient community governance.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor McLening and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the Menacuddle Well, Trenance Wood and Trethowel Wood area remain within Treverbyn Parish.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Mackrory and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the boundary between Treverbyn Parish and St Austell Parish.



Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**CNA 10 - St Austell and Mevagissey**

**1001 Carlyon**

178. St Austell Town Council (1005) proposed to transfer from Carlyon Parish to St Austell Parish the Gwallon Keas site as shown edged red on the map on page 208 of the appendix 3 of the Report, on the basis that it is a logical extension of the town, planning permission has been granted for residential development, and the site is well connected to employment and neighbourhood centres in St Austell. Moreover, St Austell Town Council considered that there were close community links with the neighbouring Holmbush area and that the railway line would be a strong parish boundary. Carlyon Parish Council had indicated that it did not wish to see any change to its parish boundary.
179. The panel considered that sufficient evidence had been produced that a transfer of the area would better reflect the identities and interests of the local community.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor McLening and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area edged red on the map on page 208 of the appendix 3 of the Report, be transferred from Carlyon Parish to St Austell Parish.

**1002 Mevagissey**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

**1003 Pentewan Valley**

180. Pentewan Valley Parish Council proposed to 1) transfer to St Austell Parish (1005) the land to the west of Porthpean Road as shown edged red on the map on page 200 of the appendix 3 to the Report; 2) transfer from St Austell Parish the area east of Pentewan Road between the A390 and Tregorrack Road as shown edged red on the map on page 201 of the appendix 3 to the Report; 3) transfer to St Austell Parish the area west of Pentewan Road and South of A390 (higher Trewhiddle Farm) as shown edged red on the map on page 202 of the appendix 3 to the Report; and 4) transfer to St Mewan Parish (1008) the area to the west of the Parish

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

adjacent to Tregongeeves Lane as shown edged red on the map on page 203 of the appendix 3 to the Report.

181. So far as the land at the corner of Tregorrick Road and Porthpean Road is concerned, both local councils have agreed to the area with planning permission, as shown on the map on page 200, being transferred to St Austell Parish, but not the wider area included in the St Austell Town Council submission. The panel considered that no substantive justification had been put forward by St Austell Town Council for the wider area to be transferred as better reflecting the identities and interests of the local community or securing more effective and convenient local governance.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor Eddy and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area to the west of Porthpean Road as shown edged red on the map on page 200 of the appendix 3 to the Report be transferred from Pentewan Valley Parish to St Austell Parish.

182. So far as the area east of Pentewan Road between the A390 and Tregorrick Road is concerned, the panel considered that no substantive evidence had been produced that the proposed change would better reflect the identities and interests of the local community or secure more effective and convenient local governance, or would better secure the future of the “green buffer” referred to in the submission.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny and seconded by Councillor Mackrory that the boundary be amended to transfer to Pentewan Valley Parish the area shown edged red on the map on page 201 of appendix 3 to the report.

On a show of hands the vote was lost.

It was proposed by Councillor Fitter, seconded by Councillor M Thomas and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the boundary of St Austell Parish and Pentewan Valley parish as shown on the map on page 201 of appendix 3 to the report.

183. In relation to the area west of Pentewan Road and south of A390 (Higher Trewhiddle Farm) as shown edged red on the map on page 202 of the appendix 3 to the Report, the panel noted that both St Austell Town Council and Pentewan Valley Parish Council are in agreement that the housing part of this proposed development at Higher Trewhiddle Farm should transfer from Pentewan Valley Parish to St Austell Parish. As

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

detailed planning permission had not yet been granted, the extent of the housing part was not yet known.

184. The panel was of the view that the area covered by the current planning permission should be looked at as a whole and that no substantive justification had been given by St Austell Town Council for the transfer of any larger area.

It was proposed by Councillor McLening, seconded by Councillor Kenny and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area west of Pentewan Road and South of A390 (Higher Trewhiddle Farm) as shown edged red on the map on page 202 of the appendix 3 to the Report be transferred from Pentewan Valley Parish to St Austell Parish.

185. In relation to the land to the west of the parish adjacent to Tregongeeves Lane on the boundary with St Mewan Parish, the panel noted that there had also been a submission from St Mewan Parish Council for the larger area as shown edged red on the map on page 218 of the appendix 3 to the report to be transferred to St Mewan Parish. In considering the two areas, the panel considered that Tregongeeves Lane was a natural boundary and that there had not been sufficient substantive evidence produced to justify the larger area proposed by St Mewan Parish Council being transferred.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor Eddy and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area to the west of Tregongeeves Lane, but not to the east, as shown edged red on the map on page 203 of the appendix 3 to the Report be transferred to St Mewan Parish from Pentewan Valley Parish.

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no other changes be made to the Pentewan Valley Parish boundary.

#### **1004 St Austell Bay**

186. St Austell Bay Parish Council proposed that Chandlers Walk be transferred from St Austell Parish (1005) to St Austell Bay Parish as residents identify with Charlestown more than with Mount Charles and St Austell Parish. Moreover, Chandlers Walk is closer to many of the village's amenities such

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

as the church, community hall and harbour than many homes in the more historic areas of the village. This change was supported by St Austell Town Council.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Kenny and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area shown edged red on the map on page 205 of the appendix 3 to the Report be transferred to St Austell Bay Parish from St Austell Parish.

### **1005 St Austell**

187. St Austell Town Council proposed to transfer from Treverbyn Parish (905) into St Austell Parish the following areas; namely Carclaze and Boscoppa as shown edged red on the map on page 160 of the appendix 3 of the Report; and Menacuddle Well, Trenance Wood and Trethowel Wood as shown edged red on the map on page 161 of the appendix 3 of the Report.
188. St Austell Town Council considered that the Carclaze/Boscoppa area is a natural extension of St Austell and has strong links with St Austell's schools, shops, facilities and services and that the A391 would be a strong boundary.
189. Treverbyn Parish Council considered that this area was integral to Treverbyn Parish with strong historical links to the rest of the parish and that local residents were against the proposed transfer. Further, Treverbyn Parish Council consider any transfer would affect their own financial viability due to the large number of households involved.
190. The panel did not consider that sufficient substantive evidence had been produced to demonstrate that the proposed transfer would better secure the identity and interests of the local community or secure more effective and convenient local governance.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Fitter and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the Carclaze/Boscoppa area remain within Treverbyn Parish.

191. So far as the Menacuddle Well, Trenance Wood and Trethowel Wood area was concerned both St Austell Town Council and Treverbyn Parish Council claim historical links with that area. St Austell Town Council considered all this area should be within one parish, whereas Treverbyn Parish Council and the local Member were of the view that the viaduct was the historic gateway to St Austell.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

192. The panel were of the view that no substantive evidence had been produced that the proposed transfer would better reflect the identities and interests of the local community or secure more effective and convenient community governance.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor McLening and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the Menacuddle Well, Trenance Wood and Trethowel Wood area remain within Treverbyn Parish.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Mackrory and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the boundary between Treverbyn Parish and St Austell Parish.

193. St Austell Town Council proposed to transfer from Carlyon Parish (1001) to St Austell Parish the Gwallon Keas site as shown edged red on the map on page 208 of the appendix 3 of the Report, on the basis that it is a logical extension of the town, planning permission has been granted for residential development, and the site is well connected to employment and neighbourhood centres in St Austell. Moreover, St Austell Town Council considered that there were close community links with the neighbouring Holmbush area and that the railway line would be a strong parish boundary. Carlyon Parish Council had indicated that it did not wish to see any change to its parish boundary.

194. The panel considered that sufficient evidence had been produced that a transfer of the area would better reflect the identities and interests of the local community.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor McLening and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area edged red on the map on page 208 of the appendix 3 of the Report, be transferred from Carlyon Parish to St Austell Parish.

195. Pentewan Valley Parish Council proposed to 1) transfer to St Austell Parish the land to the west of Porthpean Road as shown edged red on the map on page 200 of the appendix 3 to the Report; 2) transfer from St Austell Parish the area east of Pentewan Road between the A390 and Tregorrick Road as shown edged red on the map on page 201 of the appendix 3 to the Report; and 3) transfer to St Austell Parish the area west of Pentewan

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

Road and South of A390 (Higher Trehiddle Farm) as shown edged red on the map on page 202 of the appendix 3 to the Report.

196. So far as the land at the corner of Tregorrick Road and Porthpean Road is concerned, both local councils have agreed to the area with planning permission, as shown on the map on page 200, being transferred to St Austell Parish, but not the wider area included in the St Austell Town Council submission. The panel considered that no substantive justification had been put forward by St Austell Town Council for the wide area to be transferred as better reflecting the identities and interests of the local community or securing more effective and convenient local governance.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor Eddy and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area to the west of Porthpean Road as shown edged red on the map on page 200 of the appendix 3 to the Report be transferred from Pentewan Valley Parish to St Austell Parish.

197. So far as the area east of Pentewan Road between the A390 and Tregorrick Road is concerned, the panel considered that no substantive evidence had been produced that the proposed change would better reflect the identities and interests of the local community or secure more effective and convenient local governance, or would better secure the future of the “green buffer” referred to in the submission.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny and seconded by Councillor Mackrory that the boundary be amended to transfer to Pentewan Valley Parish the area shown edged red on the map on page 201 of appendix 3 to the report.

On a show of hands the vote was lost.

It was proposed by Councillor Fitter, seconded by Councillor M Thomas and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the boundary of St Austell Parish and Pentewan Valley Parish as shown on the map on page 201 of appendix 3 to the report.

198. In relation to the area west of Pentewan Road and South of A390 (Higher Trehiddle Farm) as shown edged red on the map on page 202 of the appendix 3 to the Report, the panel noted that both St Austell Town Council and Pentewan Valley Parish Council are in agreement that the housing part of this proposed development at Higher Trehiddle Farm should transfer from Pentewan Valley Parish to St Austell Parish. As

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

detailed planning permission had not yet been granted, the extent of the housing part was not yet known.

199. The panel was of the view that the area covered by the current planning permission should be looked at as a whole and that no substantive justification had been given by St Austell Town Council for the transfer of any larger area.

It was proposed by Councillor McLening, seconded by Councillor Kenny and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area west of Pentewan Road and South of A390 (Higher Trewhiddle Farm) as shown edged red on the map on page 202 of the appendix 3 to the Report be transferred from Pentewan Valley Parish to St Austell Parish.

200. St Austell Town Council proposed that the 14 properties on the Edgumbe Green housing estate be moved into St Austell Parish from St Mewan Parish in order to unite the housing estate which is currently split between the two parishes. St Mewan Parish Council agree with the proposal.

It was proposed by Councillor Mackrory, seconded by Councillor Eddy and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the part of the Edgumbe Green housing estate as shown edged red on the map on page 212 of the appendix 3 to the Report be transferred from St Mewan Parish to St Austell Parish.

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the amended boundary for St Austell Parish be approved.

#### **1006 St Ewe and 1007 St Goran**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements for the parishes of St Ewe and St Goran.

#### **1008 St Mewan**

201. A number of changes to the St Mewan Parish boundary were proposed by the parish council and adjoining parish councils. In addition, St Mewan Parish Council proposed to abolish warding within the parish. Cornwall



Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

Council has received one submission directly from residents that sought no change to the existing parish arrangements.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor Fitter and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the warding of St Mewan Parish be removed.

202. St Austell Town Council (1005) proposed that the 14 properties on the Edgumbe Green housing estate be moved into St Austell Parish from St Mewan Parish in order to unite the housing estate which is currently split between the two parishes. St Mewan Parish Council agree with the proposal.

It was proposed by Councillor Mackrory, seconded by Councillor Eddy and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the part of the Edgumbe Green housing estate as shown edged red on the map on page 212 of the appendix 3 to the Report be transferred from St Mewan Parish to St Austell Parish.

203. St Mewan Parish Council proposed to extend its boundary to include the area south of A3058 near Burngullow as shown edged red on the map on page 159 of the appendix 3 which is currently within St Stephen-in-Brannel Parish (904) as it considered that the boundary should follow the road.

204. The panel noted that this area currently splits the china clay works.

It was proposed by Councillor Mike McLening, seconded by Councillor Matt Luke and

**RESOLVED AT COUNCIL** that no change be made to the boundary between St Stephen-in-Brannel Parish to St Mewan Parish.

205. St Mewan Parish Council proposed to transfer from Grampound with Creed Parish (705) to St Mewan Parish an area west of Hewas Water and south of the A390 as shown edged red on the map on page 217 of appendix 3 to the report to include the whole of one business unit currently divided between the two parishes.

206. The panel did not understand the rationale for the proposal and no substantive evidence was produced that this would better reflect the identities and interests of the community in that area or secure more effective and convenient community governance. It was noted that any



Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

comments from the Hewas Water Action Group made during stage 3 of the Review could then be considered by the panel.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor Eddy and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the boundary between Grampound with Creed Parish and St Mewan Parish.

207. In relation to the land to the west of the Parish adjacent to Tregongeeves Lane on the boundary with St Mewan Parish, the panel noted that there had also been a submission from St Mewan Parish Council for the larger area as shown edged red on the map on page 218 of the appendix 3 to the report to be transferred to St Mewan Parish. In considering the two areas, the panel considered that Tregongeeves Lane was a natural boundary and that there had not be sufficient substantive evidence produced to justify the larger area proposed by St Mewan Parish Council being transferred.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor Eddy and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area to the West of Tregongeeves Lane, but not to the East, as shown edged red on the map on page 203 of the appendix 3 to the Report be transferred to St Mewan Parish from Pentewan Valley Parish.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**CNA 11 - Wadebridge and Padstow**

**1101 Egloshayle**

208. Wadebridge Town Council (1114) indicated that it wished to expand its boundaries into Egloshayle as shown in areas 4, 5, 6 and 7 on the map on page 203 of the appendix 3 on the grounds that the current boundary does not reflect the extent of the town, and rectifying this will result in an improvement in community identity and interests as well as securing more effective governance.
209. In summary area 4 is bounded by the A39/A389 and comprises mainly business and industrial units, and areas 5, 6 and 7 are rural areas to the east of area 4;
210. The panel considered that area 4 is within the Wadebridge bypass and part of the town, whereas there was no substantive evidence provided that a transfer of areas 5, 6 and 7 to Wadebridge Parish would better reflect the identities and interests of the community in that area or secure more effective and convenient community governance.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor Frost and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area shown and numbered 4 on the map on page 203 of appendix 3 to the report be transferred from Egloshayle Parish to Wadebridge Parish.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Frost and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the areas shown and numbered 5, 6 and 7 on the map on page 203 of appendix 3 to the report remain within Egloshayle Parish.

**1102 Padstow**

211. Padstow Town Council indicated that it wished to see no change to its existing parish boundaries. The panel noted that Cornwall Council had received two submissions directly from residents both of which sought to create a new parish around the Trevone ward so as to improve local representation, improve governance and to better reflect local identity.
212. During the public engagement meeting there was little support for the request for a new parish to be formed and the local Member was of the same view, although the panel acknowledged the work and surveys gathered by the residents group outside of that meeting. The panel noted

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

that at this time there does not appear to be an established formal residents group in place that would be in a position to form a parish council and as such it is not clear how the proposal better reflects the identity and interests of the community in that area or secures more effective and convenient community governance.

213. The panel noted that during stage 3 of the review further evidence could be presented to support any proposal to create a new parish and identify individuals willing to take on the governance of that parish council. If this commitment was not evidenced then the Panel would have to take that into account as it would affect the viability and sustainability of the proposed parish. In addition, there was uncertainty around exactly where the new proposed Trevone boundaries would be.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Frost and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that there be no change to the parish arrangements at this time and that the panel will consider in due course further evidence received during stage 3 of the Review.

### **1103 St Breock**

214. Wadebridge Town Council (1114) indicated that it wished to expand its boundaries into St Breock Parish as shown in areas 1, 2, and 3 on the map on page 203 of the appendix 3 on the grounds that the current boundary does not reflect the extent of the town, and rectifying this will result in an improvement in community identity and interests as well as securing more effective governance.
215. Area 1 is currently used primarily for business, commercial and residential purposes. Area 2 is the Trevanson residential area and area 3 is currently open fields.
216. The panel considered that area 1, and the part of area 2 south of the A39 (Rowan Road) can be considered as part of the Wadebridge community whereas no substantive evidence was produced that a transfer of area 3, and that part of area 2 north of the A39 (Trevanson Road) would better reflect the identities and interests of the community in that area or secure more effective and convenient community governance.

It was proposed by Councillor Eddy, seconded by Councillor Frost and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area numbered 1 and that part of the area numbered 2 south of the A39 on the map on page 203 of appendix 3 be transferred from St Breock Parish to Wadebridge Parish.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

It was proposed by Councillor Eddy, seconded by Councillor Frost and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the part of the area numbered 2 north of the A39 together with that area numbered 3 to the report remain within St Breock Parish.

**1104 St Endellion, 1105 St Ervan, 1106 St Eval, 1107 St Issey, 1108 St Kew, 1109 St Mabyn and 1110 St Merryn**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements for the parishes of St Endellion, St Ervan, St Eval, St Issey, St Kew, St Mabyn and St Merryn.

**1111 St Minver Highlands**

217. St Minver Highlands Parish Council proposed to adjust its boundary with St Minver Lowlands (1112) so that all of Polzeath and its beach lay within St Minver Highlands and the River Camel estuary area would be transferred from St Minver Highlands to St Minver Lowlands in order to secure more effective governance of these areas which are currently split between the two parishes. St Minver Lowlands Parish (1112) has proposed to amalgamate with St Minver Highlands to create a merged parish.
218. The local Member considered that Polzeath beach was the contentious area as part was public and part was privately owned. Historically the two parishes worked well together, there was a joint neighbourhood plan that was developed by both parishes, there was a joint committee that ran the cemeteries and there was a joint beach management group. Geographically the part of the Camel Estuary that St Minver Highlands had referred to was in St Minver Lowlands but it was owned by the Duchy and managed by the Harbour Commissioners. The area that the Highlands would like to move would bring the whole of Polzeath into St Minver Highlands.
219. The panel noted that no substantive evidence had been produced that the proposed change would better reflect the identity and interests of the community in that area or that it would be secure more effective and convenient community governance.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny and seconded by Councillor Eddy no change be made to the boundaries of St Minver Highland and St Minver Lowlands and for future consultation outside of the current review be held to collect the local views for a future decision to be made.

On a show of hands the vote was lost.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Mackrory and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish boundaries of St Minver Highlands or St Minver Lowlands and that the formal public consultation be used to collect views on the proposal to change the boundaries and the proposal to merge St Minver Highlands Parish and St Minver Lowlands Parish, for a final decision to be made after stage 3 of the Review.

### **1112 St Minver Lowlands**

220. St Minver Lowlands Parish Council indicated that it wished to amalgamate with St Minver Highlands to create a new parish in order to secure more effective governance, reduce administration costs and improve community cohesion and interests in the area.
221. The local Member considered that it would make sense to have one precept that covered the entire area as in the combined area there was one school, one sports field and one cricket field that all needed to be maintained. Further, many of the local residents were unaware of which parish they actually lived in as this became apparent in recent public events and from planning applications that had been sent to the wrong parishes.
222. The panel noted that the two parishes already appeared to work closely together and if the merger was to be recommended then it would make one large parish of a manageable size. Nevertheless, the panel noted that St Minver Highlands Parish Council did not support the merging of the parishes.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny and seconded by Councillor Eddy that no change be made to the boundaries of St Minver Highland and St Minver Lowlands and for future consultation outside of the current review be held to collect the local views for a future decision to be made.

On a show of hands the vote was lost.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Mackrory and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish boundaries of St Minver Highlands or St Minver Lowlands and that the formal public consultation be used to collect views on the proposal to change the boundaries and the proposal to merge St Minver Highlands Parish and St Minver Lowlands Parish, for a final decision to be made after stage 3 of the Review.

### **1113 St Tudy**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

### **1114 Wadebridge**

223. The proposals from Wadebridge Town Council have been considered in relation to Egloshayle Parish (1101) and St Breock Parish (1103).

It was proposed by Councillor Eddy, seconded by Councillor Frost and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area numbered 1 and that part of the area numbered 2 south of the A39 on the map on page 203 of appendix 3 be transferred from St Breock Parish to Wadebridge Parish.

It was proposed by Councillor Eddy, seconded by Councillor Frost and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the part of the area numbered 2 north of the A39 together with that area numbered 3 to the report remain within St Breock Parish.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor Frost and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area shown and numbered 4 on the map on page 203 of appendix 3 to the report be transferred from Egloshayle Parish to Wadebridge Parish.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Frost and

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the areas shown and numbered 5, 6 and 7 on the map on page 203 of appendix 3 to the report remain within Egloshayle Parish.

224. Further, Cornwall Council has received one submission directly from residents which sought to group Wadebridge, Egloshayle and St Breock parishes together as all three have shared issues and resources.

225. The panel noted that no substantive evidence has been submitted that grouping would better reflect the identity and interests of the community in that area or secure more effective and convenient community governance.

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that Wadebridge, Egloshayle and St Breock parishes are not grouped together.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**CNA 12 - Bodmin**

**1201 Blisland**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements for the parish of Blisland.

**1202 Bodmin**

226. Bodmin Town Council has made no formal submission but in undertaking their neighbourhood plan consultation has noted that some potential development sites to the North of the parish were outside of their boundary. They would like to extend their boundary to include this land. There was no planning permission granted for any of it yet;
227. The panel noted that further detailed proposals for change from the town council could be received during Stage 3 of the Review;
228. If a boundary change was to be considered then Helland Parish Council would need to be consulted;

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Kenny and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish boundary as it was not considered that this would better reflect the identities and interests of the community in that area or secure more effective or convenient community governance; and that Bodmin Town Council be informed as to how a further Review could be requested in future years.

As one of the local Members for the area Councillor Frost abstained from the vote.

**1203 Cardinham, 1204 Helland, 1205 Lanhydrock, 1206 Lanivet and 1207 Withiel**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements for the parishes of Cardinham, Helland, Lanhydrock, Lanivet and Withiel.



Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**CNA 13 - St Blazey, Fowey and Lostwithiel**

**1301 Boconnoc**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

**1302 Broadoak**

229. Broadoak Parish Meeting indicated that it wished to change the parish name to Braddock Parish.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Eddy and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the Parish name be changed to Braddock Parish.

**1303 Fowey**

230. Fowey Town Council indicated that it wished to increase the number of councillors from ten to twelve to secure more effective governance as ten was insufficient for the current workload.

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the number of Councillors be increased from 10 to 12 for Fowey Town Council.

**1304 Lanlivery and 1305 Lostwithiel**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements for the parishes of Lanlivery and Lostwithiel.

**1306 Luxulyan**

231. Luxulyan Parish Council indicated that it wished to move its boundary with Lanivet Parish (1206) south to follow the A30 which they considered would be a more logical boundary than the existing one.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

232. The panel considered that the proposal was to transfer a small strip of land and change what was a historic boundary but that no substantive evidence had been provided to demonstrate that this would better reflect the identities and interests of the community in that area or secure more effective and convenient community governance;

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Eddy and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

**1307 St Blaise and 1308 St Sampson**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements for the parishes of St Blaise and St Sampson.

**1309 St Veep**

233. St Veep Parish Council did not make an initial expression of interest nor a formal submission. Cornwall Council received one submission directly from residents which sought to amalgamate St Veep and St Winnow (1310) into a single parish for efficiency of governance and a shared community identity.

234. Neither Parish Council appear to have been consulted in relation to this proposal.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor Eddy and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

**1310 St Winnow**

235. St Winnow Parish Council did not make an initial expression of interest nor a formal submission. Cornwall Council received one submission directly from residents which sought to amalgamate St Veep (1309) and St Winnow into a single parish for efficiency of governance and a shared community identity.

236. Neither Parish Council appear to have been consulted in relation to this proposal.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor Eddy and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

**1311 Tywardreath and Par**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**CNA 14 - Camelford**

**1401 Advent and 1402 Camelford**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements for the parishes of Advent and Camelford.

**1403 Davidstow**

237. Davidstow Parish Council indicated that it wished to see its Parish boundary move east to include all of Hallworthy village from Treneglos Parish (1414) as the residents would be better served if they were in a single parish;

238. It was noted that Treneglos Parish did not currently have a parish council and the panel had been informed that there had not been a recent parish meeting;

239. The panel was informed that there was a local willingness to reinstate the parish meeting;

240. The panel considered that local views were needed as to whether the whole of Hallworthy village should be within a single parish and whether this should be within Davidstow or Treneglos Parish as no case had been received for Hallworthy to move into Treneglos Parish. Stage 3 of the review would allow for more public consultation but a proposition would be required with detail around where the new boundary around Hallworthy should be.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Frost and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no changes be made at this time but that in the consultation phase views be sought as to the viability of Treneglos Parish going forward and whether the part of the village of Hallworthy in Treneglos Parish should be transferred into Davidstow Parish and, if so, where the precise boundary should be.

**1404 Forrabury and Minster, 1405 Lesnewth, 1406 Michaelstow, 1407 Otterham, 1408 St Breward, 1409 St Clether and 1410 St Juliot**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements for the parishes of Forrabury and Minster, Lesnewth, Michaelstow, Otterham, St Breward, St Clether and St Juliot.

**1411 St Teath**

241. St Teath Parish Council indicated that it wished to split into two; namely, St Teath Parish and Delabole Parish. This would be along existing ward boundaries to improve elector ratios and to recognise separate community identities and economic divergence.
242. The local Member had requested a boundary review for this area previously as the St Teath Parish Council and the village of Delabole were struggling due to the governance arrangements;
243. It was noted that St Teath and Delabole were two distinct villages in the parish. St Teath had approximately 700 electors and Delabole had around 1400 electors with four Councillors from St Teath and eight from Delabole. This led to recruitment problems for St Teath and the local belief that St Teath councillors would always be outvoted by the councillors from Delabole;
244. It was noted that a lot of work had been carried out locally and that there was local support for the split into St Teath Parish and Delabole Parish along the current ward boundary between St Teath Ward and Delabole Ward although it was noted that the ward boundary did not follow any natural feature or boundary;
245. Calculations would need to be run in order to understand how many Councillors would be needed in each parish council and evidence would be required to show that a new parish council could be formed and operated appropriately; and
246. The public meeting had the majority of people agreeing with this and in principle the panel considered that the proposal to create a new parish of Delabole, with a parish council, should be supported following consultation as to the boundaries and the capacity of residents establish a new parish council.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Frost and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that there be no change in the parish arrangements at this stage but that the panel supported in principle, the Delabole ward of St Teath Parish becoming the new parish of Delabole, with a parish council. Furthermore, given the detailed support from residents evidenced by the local member, that the stage 3 public consultation is run on the basis of a clear recommendation from Cornwall Council that a new parish is

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

established for the ward of Delabole, with views taken on achieving a robust boundary between St Teath Parish and a new parish of Delabole and the number of elected members for both parishes.

**1412 Tintagel and 1413 Tremaine**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements for the parishes of Tintagel and Tremaine.

**1414 Treneglos**

247. The resolution for Treneglos Parish was covered in the resolution for Davidstow Parish (1403) which was, in summary, that no changes be made at this time but that in the consultation phase views be sought as to whether there should be a change to the boundary between Treneglos and Davidstow parishes at Hallworthy and, if so, where the precise boundary should be.

**1415 Tresmeer, 1416 Trevalga and 1417 Warbstow**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements for the parishes of Tresmeer, Trevalga and Warbstow.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**CNA 15 - Liskeard and Looe**

**1501 Deviock**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

**1502 Dobwalls and Trewidland**

248. Dobwalls and Trewidland Parish Council indicated that it wished to (i) change its existing parish boundaries with Liskeard Parish (1506) to move the Moorswater Industrial Estate into Liskeard South parish ward due to its close community and economic links with Liskeard and to secure more effective governance; (ii) to move Trewidland ward to St Keyne Parish and (iii) to change the internal ward boundaries and number of councillors accordingly, as Trewidland and Dobwalls wards have distinct community identities and interests and this change would secure more effective governance.

249. The panel noted that Liskeard Town Council had requested that the sewage works in Dobwalls and Trewidland Parish be transferred to Liskeard Parish, and that the requested change to the boundary with Liskeard Parish did not alter any historic boundaries.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Frost and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the sewage treatment works and the whole of the Moorswater industrial estate be transferred to Liskeard Parish as shown edged red on the map on page 24 of appendix 3.

250. The panel noted that St Keyne Parish (1514) also wished to include Trewidland within its boundaries and change the name of the parish to St Keyne and Trewidland and increase the number of councillors from 7 to 9. The panel felt that the requested change to the boundary with St Keyne Parish was logical. The panel considered that Trewidland has greater ties with St Keyne Parish and as such, Dobwalls and Trewidland Parish should be split along a line defined from the South West corner with Liskeard Parish and the north East Corner with St Keyne with the updated Trewidland Ward joining with St Keyne, as this will better reflect the identity and interests of the community in that area and secure more effective and convenient community governance within that area.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

251. Consequentially, the remaining Dobwalls and Trewidland Parish could then be renamed as Dobwalls Parish.
252. No evidence had been received regarding the number of Councillors for the extended St Keyne Parish, and that needed to be part of the consultation process.

It was proposed by Councillor Frost, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that Dobwalls and Trewidland Parish be split along a line defined from the South West corner with Liskeard Parish and the North East corner with St Keyne Parish, so that St Keyne Parish be the area edged blue on page 22 of appendix 3 and that St Keyne Parish be renamed as “St Keyne and Trewidland Parish”.

253. St Keyne Parish Council had expressed a wish to increase its number of councillors from 7 to 9 if the parish was extended;
254. The panel was of the view that the Stage 3 consultation phase should seek views on the number of Councillors; and
255. If there was going to be warding or a review of Councillor numbers then the consequences of these decisions would have to be checked with the LGBCE. This could be accommodated within stage 3 of the Review.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Frost and

**RESOLVED** that the Panel seeks comments in relation to the warding and the number of Councillors in relation to the St Keyne and Trewidland Parish.

It was proposed by Councillor Frost, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the remaining Dobwalls and Trewidland Parish be renamed “Dobwalls Parish”.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Frost and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the number of Councillors for Dobwalls Parish should be 9 which reflects what was suggested for the area by the Local Government Boundary Commission England.



Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**1503 Duloe, 1504 Lanreath and 1505 Lanteglos by Fowey**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements for the parishes of Duloe, Lanreath and Lanteglos by Fowey.

**1506 Liskeard**

256. Liskeard Town Council indicated that it wished to see its Parish boundary move to the west and south-west into Dobwalls and Trewidland Parish, and west and south into Menheniot Parish, so as to include all the areas that identify economically and with close community links to Liskeard town.
257. So far as the adjoining commercial and proposed housing development in Menheniot Parish is concerned the panel considered that it looked as though it was an urban extension of Liskeard and that it therefore appeared to be more strongly linked to the town than the rural area;
258. It was noted that it would have been Menheniot Parish Council that commented on the planning permission for this development;
259. It was felt inappropriate for the area to the west of the A38 to be within the boundaries of Liskeard town as that is predominantly rural in character;
260. The Panel needed to consider future developments and how likely they were to be started and completed, and relate to the urban town;
261. On that basis the panel considered that the commercial development to the south of the town together with the land with the adjoining land having outline planning permission should be transferred to Liskeard Parish.

It was proposed by Councillor Kenny, seconded by Councillor Rowse and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area on page 27 of appendix 3 encompassed by the A38, the slip road and the A390, specifically B&M and Argos+, but excluding the land to the west of the A38 including Bolitho Farm, be transferred from Menheniot Parish to Liskeard Parish.

It was proposed by Councillor Eddy, seconded by Councillor Rowse and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the Travelodge and the pub be also transferred from Menheniot Parish to Liskeard Parish.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

It was proposed by Councillor Sally Hawken, seconded by Councillor Andrew Long and

**RESOLVED AT COUNCIL** that the land with outline planning permission to the east of the A38 be also transferred from Menheniot Parish to Liskeard Parish.

**1507 Looe**

262. Looe Town Council indicated that it wished to move its parish boundary to the north into Lansallos Parish (1511) to include Polean in Looe Parish as this would better reflect the interests and identity of the community in that area.

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the area edged red on the map on page 29 of appendix 3, be transferred from Lansallos Parish to Looe Parish so that the Polean site lies solely in the Looe Parish without impacting on any residential properties. Also, that during the public consultation, clarity be sought regarding the proposed straight line boundary indicated on page 29 of appendix 3 to the report.

**1508 Menheniot**

263. The resolution for Menheniot Parish was covered in the resolution for Liskeard Town Council (1506). In summary, that the area on page 27 of appendix 3 encompassed by the A38, the slip road and the A390, specifically B&M and Argos+ and the Travelodge and the pub, and the land with outline planning permission to the east of the A38 be transferred from Menheniot Parish to Liskeard Parish.

**1509 Morval**

264. Morval Parish Council indicated that it wished to move all of No Man's Land village into St Martin by Looe Parish (1515) from Morval Parish in exchange for other land in St Martin by Looe as shown on the map on page 31 of appendix 3, as this would better reflect community identity and secure more effective governance. This was supported by St Martin by Looe Parish Council.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Mackrory and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the respective areas edged red on the map on page 31 of appendix 3, be transferred between Morval Parish and St Martin by Looe Parish.

**1510 Pelynt**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

**1511 Lansallos**

265. The resolution for Lansallos Parish was covered in the resolution for Looe Town. In summary, that the area edged red on the map on page 29 of appendix 3, be transferred from Lansallos Parish to Looe Parish so that the Polean site lies solely in the Looe Parish without impacting on any residential properties and that during the public consultation, clarity be sought regarding the proposed straight line boundary indicated on page 29 of appendix 3 to the report.

**1512 Quethiock and 1513 St Cleer**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements for the parishes of Quethiock and St Cleer.

**1514 St Keyne**

266. The resolution for St Keyne Parish was covered in the resolution for Dobwalls and Trewidland Parish. In summary, that St Keyne Parish be the area edged blue on page 22 of appendix 3 and that St Keyne Parish be renamed as “St Keyne and Trewidland Parish”. Further that as St Keyne Parish Council had expressed a wish to increase its number of councillors from 7 to 9 if the parish was extended, the panel was of the view that the Stage 3 consultation phase should seek views on the number of Councillors.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**1515 St Martin by Looe**

267. The resolution for St Martin by Looe Parish was covered in the resolution for Morval Parish (1509). In summary, that the respective areas edged red on the map on page 31 of appendix 3, be transferred between Morval Parish and St Martin by Looe Parish.

**1516 St Neot, 1517 St Pinnock and 1518 Warleggan**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements for the parishes of St Neot, St Pinnock and Warleggan.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**CNA 16 - Bude**

**1601 Bude-Stratton**

268. Bude-Stratton Town Council initially indicated it wished to see either an amalgamation of itself with Launcells (1604) and Marhamchurch (1605) Parishes along with part of Poundstock Parish (1608) or move parts of Marhamchurch and Poundstock Parishes within its boundaries due to economic and cultural links.
269. Following the responses from neighbouring parish councils, the town council's proposals were withdrawn and the panel considered that there was no evidence of demand to consider change at the present time.

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements for the parish of Bude-Stratton.

**1602 Jacobstow, 1603 Kilkhampton, 1604 Launcells, 1605 Marhamchurch, 1606 Morwenstow, 1607 North Tamerton, 1608 Poundstock, 1609 St Gennys, 1610 Week St Mary and 1611 Whitstone**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements for the parishes of Jacobstow, Kilkhampton, Launcells, Marhamchurch, Morwenstow, North Tamerton, Poundstock, St Gennys, Week St Mary and Whitstone.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
 Combined draft recommendations

**CNA 17 - Launceston**

**1701 Altarnun, 1702 Boyton, 1703 Egloskerry and 1704 Laneast**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements for the parishes of Altarnun, Boyton, Egloskerry and Laneast.

**1705 Launceston**

270. Launceston Town Council indicated it wished to see its boundary extended in the east and the west to include several new residential areas so as to better reflect the expansion of the town of Launceston and to follow more logical boundaries such as roads. Proposals were to 1) include Pennygillam estate (currently in South Petherwin Parish – 1711) in Launceston Parish to remove any confusion about where it lies. 2) Include Upper Chapel (currently in St Thomas the Apostle Parish – 1713) so that the proposed Launceston cemetery extension lies within the Town boundary defined by the “natural” border of the roadways. 3) To include the area from Cross Lanes at the junction with A388 to Millways, along the road to join the Dutson to St Leonard’s Road down to the River Kensey at St Leonard’s (currently in St Stephen-By-Launceston Parish – 1712) to ensure the Parish boundary follows a recognisable boundary.

271. So far as the proposal in relation to the Pennygillam estate was concerned, the local Member advised that no evidence had been received regarding the requested change and that there were no strong views in favour of it. The public consultation would provide more views on it.

It was proposed by Councillor Frost, seconded by Councillor Mackrory and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish boundary with South Petherwin Parish in relation to the Pennygillam estate.

272. The requested boundary change to include Upper Chapel appeared to be a request for a long thin strip of land that was in the neighbouring parish of St Thomas the Apostle for which planning permission for residential development has been given and work is starting;

273. Significant public concern about this request had been apparent at the public meeting and there were some residential properties attached to the town that were in the St Thomas the Apostle Parish, but they were content with the situation as it was; and

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

274. St Thomas Church was already in Launceston Town Council's boundaries.

It was proposed by Councillor Mackrory, seconded by Councillor Formosa and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the Launceston Parish boundary with St Thomas the Apostle Parish at Upper Chapel.

275. No substantive evidence was provided to the panel that the area east of Launceston from Cross Lanes at the junction with the A388 to Millways, along the road to join the Dutson to St Leonard's Road down to the River Kensey at St Leonard's should be transferred to Launceston Parish in order to better reflect the identities and interests of the community in that area or secure more effective and convenient community governance;

It was proposed by Councillor Frost, seconded by Councillor Eddy and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish boundary with St Stephen-By-Launceston Parish.

**1706 Lawhitton, 1707 Lewannick, 1708 Lezant, 1709 North Hill and 1710 North Petherwin**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements for the parishes of Lawhitton, Lewannick, Lezant, North Hill and North Petherwin.

**1711 South Petherwin**

276. South Petherwin Parish Council indicated it wished to see no change to its existing Parish boundaries with Launceston Parish, however it proposed to move its boundary with St Thomas the Apostle north to the new A30 as this is a more logical boundary and better reflects community identity.

277. It was noted that no evidence of opposition to the requested change was heard at the public meeting and St Thomas the Apostle Parish Council had indicated its agreement to the transfer of the that land into St Thomas the Apostle Parish.

It was proposed by Councillor Frost, seconded by Councillor Kenny and

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the existing boundary with St Thomas the Apostle on the old A30 be moved to the south to coincide with the new A30 as shown edged red on the map on page 114 of appendix 3.

It was proposed by Councillor Frost, seconded by Councillor Mackrory and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish boundary with Launceston Parish in relation to the Pennygillam estate.

**1712 St Stephen-By-Launceston Rural**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements for the parish of St Stephen-By-Launceston Rural.

**1713 St Thomas the Apostle**

The resolution for St Thomas the Apostle Parish was covered in the resolution for South Petherwin Parish. In summary, that the existing boundary with South Petherwin on the old A30 be moved to the south to coincide with the new A30 as shown edged red on the map on page 114 of appendix 3.

**1714 Stoke Climsland, 1715 Trewen and 1716 Werrington**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements for the parishes of Stoke Climsland, Trewen and Werrington.



Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**CNA 18 - Caradon**

**1801 Callington**

278. Callington Town Council would like to see the warding removed.

It was proposed by Councillor Eddy, seconded by Councillor Frost and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** to abolish the parish wards within the parish of Callington and retain 12 councillors.

**1802 Calstock**

279. Calstock Parish Council indicated that it wished to increase the number of Parish Councillors from 4 to 5 in the Delaware Ward so as to improve the elector to councillor ratio and to see no change to its existing parish boundaries. Cornwall Council has received one submission directly from residents which sought to break up the Parish into separate Parish Councils along existing warding boundaries to prevent domination of the parish by individual wards.

It was proposed by Councillor Cole, seconded by Councillor Frost and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the number of councillors in the Delaware ward be increased from 4 to 5, bringing the total number of parish councillors to 19, as that would secure more effective and convenient community governance within the area, and that there be no change to the existing parish boundary, and that no new parishes be created, as no evidence has been presented that the residents within those areas consider that this would better reflect the identity and interests of the community in that area or secure more effective and convenient community governance within that area.

**1803 Linkinhorne, 1804 Pillaton, 1805 South Hill and 1806 St Dominic**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements for the parishes of Linkinhorne, Pillaton, South Hill and St Dominic.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**1807 St Ive**

280. St Ive Parish Council indicated it wished to change the Parish name to “St Ive and Pensilva Parish Council” to avoid confusion with St Ives (204) and they wished to see no change to its existing parish boundaries.

It was proposed by Councillor Eddy, seconded by Councillor Rowse and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that the parish name be changed to “St Ive and Pensilva Parish Council” and that there be no change to the parish boundary.

**1808 St Mellion**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**CNA 19 - Cornwall Gateway**

**1901 Antony, 1902 Botus Fleming, 1903 Landrake with St Erney, 1904 Landulph, 1905 Maker-with-Rame, 1906 Millbrook, 1907 Saltash, 1908 Sheviock, 1909 St Germans, 1910 St John and 1911 Torpoint**

It was proposed by Councillor M Brown, seconded by Councillor Cole and

**RESOLVED TO RECOMMEND TO COUNCIL** that no change be made to the parish arrangements for the parishes of Antony, Botus Fleming, Landrake with St Erney, Landulph, Maker-with-Rame, Millbrook, Saltash, Sheviock, St Germans, St John and Torpoint.

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

**Index of Parish unique reference numbers**

1401	Advent	305	Grade-Ruan
1701	Altarnun	705	Grampond with Creed
1901	Antony	306	Gunwalloe
1201	Blisland	307	Gweek
1301	Boconnoc	404	Gwennap
1202	Bodmin	201	Gwinear Gwithian
1902	Botus Fleming	202	Hayle
1702	Boyton	1204	Helland
301	Breage	308	Helston
1302	Broadoak	405	Illogan
1601	Bude-Stratton	1602	Jacobstow
501	Budock	706	Kea
1801	Callington	707	Kenwyn
1802	Calstock	1603	Kilkhampton
401	Camborne	708	Ladock
1402	Camelford	309	Landewednack
1203	Cardinham	1903	Landrake with St Erney
402	Carharrack	1904	Landulph
1001	Carlyon	1704	Laneast
403	Carn Brea	1205	Lanhydrock
701	Chacewater	1206	Lanivet
801	Colan	1304	Lanlivery
502	Constantine	406	Lanner
601	Crantock	1504	Lanreath
302	Crowan	1505	Lanteglos
602	Cubert	1604	Launcells
702	Cuby	1705	Launceston
303	Cury	1706	Lawhitton
1403	Davidstow	1405	Lesnewth
1501	Deviock	1707	Lewannick
1502	Dobwalls and Trewidland	1708	Lezant
1503	Duloe	1803	Linkinhorne
1101	Egloshayle	1506	Liskeard
1703	Egloskerry	1507	Looe
503	Falmouth	1305	Lostwithiel
703	Feock	101	Ludgvan
1404	Forrabury and Minster	1306	Luxulyan
1303	Fowey	504	Mabe
304	Germoe	102	Madron
704	Gerrans	1905	Maker-with-Rame

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

310	Manaccan	108	Sancreed
103	Marazion	109	Sennen
310	Manaccan	108	Sancreed
103	Marazion	109	Sennen
1605	Marhamchurch	1908	Sheviock
311	Mawgan in Meneage	314	Sithney
505	Mawnan	1805	South Hill
1508	Menheniot	1711	South Petherwin
1002	Mevagissey	604	St Agnes
1406	Michaelstow	605	St Allen
1906	Millbrook	315	St Anthony in Meneage
104	Morvah	1005	St Austell
1509	Morval	1004	St Austell Bay
1606	Morwenstow	1307	St Blaise
312	Mullion	1103	St Breock
506	Mylor	1408	St Breward
802	Newquay	110	St Buryan
1709	North Hill	1513	St Cleer
1710	North Petherwin	712	St Clement
1607	North Tamerton	1409	St Clether
1407	Otterham	803	St Columb Major
1102	Padstow	409	St Day
105	Paul	902	St Dennis
1510	Pelynt	1806	St Dominic
507	Penryn	1104	St Endellion
1003	Pentewan Valley	903	St Eoder
106	Penzance	713	St Erme
508	Perranarworthal	203	St Erth
107	Perranuthnoe	1105	St Ervan
603	Perranzabuloe	1106	St Eval
709	Philleigh	1006	St Ewe
1804	Pillaton	1609	St Gennys
1511	Lansallos	1909	St Germans
313	Porthleven	509	St Gluvias
407	Portreath	1007	St Goran
1608	Poundstock	111	St Hilary
710	Probus	1107	St Issey
1512	Quethiock	1807	St Ive
408	Redruth	204	St Ives
901	Roche	1910	St John
711	Ruanlanihorn	1410	St Juliot
1907	Saltash	112	St Just-in-Penwith

Council Electoral Review Panel  
Combined draft recommendations

714	St Just-in-Roseland	1715	Trewen
316	St Keverne	718	Truro
1108	St Kew	1311	Tywardreath and Par
1514	St Keyne	719	Veryan
113	St Levan	1114	Wadebridge
1109	St Mabyn	1417	Warbstow
1515	St Martin By Looe	1518	Warleggan
317	St Martin In Meneage	1610	Week St Mary
804	St Mawgan-in-Pydar	318	Wendron
1808	St Mellion	1716	Werrington
1110	St Merryn	1611	Whitstone
1008	St Mewan	1207	Withiel
715	St Michael Caerhays	115	Zennor
716	St Michael Penkivel		
114	St Michael's Mount		
1111	St Minver Highlands		
1112	St Minver Lowlands		
1516	St Neot		
606	St Newlyn East		
1517	St Pinnock		
1308	St Sampson		
904	St Stephen-in-Brannel		
1712	St Stephens By Launceston Rural		
1411	St Teath		
1713	St Thomas the Apostle		
1113	St Tudy		
1309	St Veep		
805	St Wenn		
1310	St Winnow		
410	Stithians		
1714	Stoke Climsland		
1412	Tintagel		
1911	Torpoint		
205	Towednack		
717	Tregony		
1413	Tremaine		
1414	Treneglos		
1415	Tresmeer		
1416	Trevalga		
905	Treverbyn		