

Camelford CNA

Events: Community Network Areas Core Strategy Area Based Discussion Paper

Full Name	Organisation Details	CM1 - Do you agree that these are the priority issues?	CM1 - Are there any issues that should be added, removed or changed?	CM2 - Option 1 – Distribution / Growth	CM2 - Please let us know why or an alternative suggestion for distribution.	CM3 - a) One or two key towns dominate?	CM3 - b) Self-contained villages?	CM3 - c) Groups of smaller villages that share facilities?	CM3 - d) Would you change the way these settlements work?	CM4 – Other comments on the CNA	Attachment(s)?	Officer Comments
David Lobban	PCL Planning				We have no comment to make other than we believe Camelford should be sustained in its present form, and therefore that may mean that sufficient growth should be allocated to ensure the maintenance of existing facilities.						No	Noted. The local spatial priorities for the Camelford area as identified in the consultation document do specify strengthening the community facilities and affordable housing provision without major development.
Ian Williams	Cornish Social and Economic Research Group	No	<p>Develop a local transport plan that restricts car use and promotes alternatives within the town. Upgrade public transport links to other settlements.</p> <p>Maintain and respect the environment of the area, accepting the need to include all elements rather than focusing on protecting certain landscape and other designations.</p> <p>Plan for housing development to meet local need, not for unsustainable growth.</p> <p>Maintain and enhance local shops, facilities and services, with the aim of providing for the local community.</p> <p>Support and develop an ICT infrastructure</p>		'Steady-state' dispersed.					There is potential in this CNA to meet a proportion of housing need under the 'steady-state' scenario through the transfer of second homes into the residential market.	No	<p>The area issues were developed individually for each CNA based on the priorities coming out of their Parish Plans and through consultation with the Network Managers. It is noted that many of your suggested replacement issues are the same between each CNA, thus losing the local dimension to the priorities.</p> <p>However, many of the issues you raise will be covered in more detail at the next stage of the Core strategy when we begin to consider which policies will be needed in implementing the strategy. Policies should include the natural environment, the economy,</p>

Full Name	Organisation Details	CM1 - Do you agree that these are the priority issues?	CM1 - Are there any issues that should be added, removed or changed?	CM2 - Option 1 – Distribution / Growth	CM2 - Please let us know why or an alternative suggestion for distribution.	CM3 - a) One or two key towns dominate?	CM3 - b) Self-contained villages?	CM3 - c) Groups of smaller villages that share facilities?	CM3 - d) Would you change the way these settlements work?	CM4 – Other comments on the CNA	Attachment(s)?	Officer Comments
			<p>so that households, businesses and others can carry out more activities on line, hence reducing travel.</p> <p>Support the needs of communities in the rural hinterland through appropriate local needs development and the maintenance of community facilities.</p> <p>Promote better quality jobs to create a more balanced economy.</p>									affordable housing etc.
J Christie			<p>Equal consideration should be given to social, economic and environmental issues.</p> <p>Add 'Ensure location, scale and design of new development minimises impact on the natural environment and ecosystem goods and services (including at coast and nearshore waters). Ensure development includes adequate measures for environmental protection, enhancement, mitigation and compensation'.</p> <p>Add 'Address higher than average occurrence of second and empty homes (13.3% compared to 7.6%).'</p>		None of the 9 options work best for the area as the scale for each is too high to deliver sustainable development. Should the number of proposed dwellings be reduced then the preferred option would be either the Cornwall Towns or Economy led option depending on the environmental features of specific sites.					Future development must be sustainable; ensuring social prosperity and the continued provision of quality environmental goods and services.	No	Many of the issues raised will be covered in more detail at the next stage when we begin to consider which policies will be needed in implementing the strategy. A Sustainability Appraisal is being undertaken at each stage of Core Strategy production which scrutinises the strategy against each of the three ingredients of sustainability: society, economy and the environment. This will help ensure that the strategy seeks to achieve sustainable development.
M Calder	The National Trust		As this is a coastal CNA, a local spatial	Cornwall	In principle the Trust favours the 'Cornwall						No	

Full Name	Organisation Details	CM1 - Do you agree that these are the priority issues?	CM1 - Are there any issues that should be added, removed or changed?	CM2 - Option 1 – Distribution / Growth	CM2 - Please let us know why or an alternative suggestion for distribution.	CM3 - a) One or two key towns dominate?	CM3 - b) Self-contained villages?	CM3 - c) Groups of smaller villages that share facilities?	CM3 - d) Would you change the way these settlements work?	CM4 – Other comments on the CNA	Attachment(s)?	Officer Comments
			<p>priority should be protection and enhancement of the unspoilt character and appearance of the undeveloped coast.</p> <p>Promotion for renewable energy (under Issue 6) should be in accordance with the emerging Cornwall landscape strategy for solar and wind renewable energy.</p>	Towns	<p>Towns' distribution for the reasons set out earlier in this response. The Trust has concerns over the 'dispersed option' for growth, not just on climate factors, but as it is likely to have the most visual impact along the coast (SA, page 19), and an extremely negative effect on the historic environment with the greatest visual impact of all the options (SA, page 20). The dispersed option will also have a negative effect on Tourism with the 'most potential to jeopardise the attractiveness of much of Cornwall's more rural and coastal communities' (SA, page 34), which should be accorded significant weight, given the importance of tourism to the economy of Cornwall (Tourism Issues Paper; 1.7) as well as to its 'social, cultural and environmental wellbeing' (Tourism Issues Paper; Summary 1.1).</p> <p>Evidence would be required to demonstrate that Tintagel and Boscastle have the landscape capacity to support the levels of growth proposed under the 'dispersed' distribution</p>							<p>Protection of the undeveloped coast will be issues across all Community Network Areas which contain them. They are therefore not listed specifically for this CNA, but this does not mean they will not be taken into account.</p> <p>Other comments noted. These comments will be taken into account at the next stage of developing the Core Strategy.</p>

Full Name	Organisation Details	CM1 - Do you agree that these are the priority issues?	CM1 - Are there any issues that should be added, removed or changed?	CM2 - Option 1 – Distribution / Growth	CM2 - Please let us know why or an alternative suggestion for distribution.	CM3 - a) One or two key towns dominate?	CM3 - b) Self-contained villages?	CM3 - c) Groups of smaller villages that share facilities?	CM3 - d) Would you change the way these settlements work?	CM4 – Other comments on the CNA	Attachment(s)?	Officer Comments
					option without unacceptably harming the character of the undeveloped coast and the AONB, which seems unlikely.							
Mike Morey	Chairman St Clether Parish Council			Dispersed / Low	<p>Dispersal around all towns and eligible villages to maintain viable services like shops offices and pubs etc. But most house building to be linked to employment and need.</p> <p>We would also like to see a provision for local need in parishes with no designated building land and local need to be defined as ...</p> <p>(a) born in the parish or</p> <p>(b) brought up in the parish</p> <p>(c) earn living in parish</p> <p>(d) pay taxes in parish</p> <p>(e) wish to build in parish in order to come and care for elderly relatives already living in parish. Thus saving the burden of council care.</p>					<p>We would very much like to see planning permission for second homes and this to be applied retrospectively.</p> <p>For changes of use no permission no rebate on the council tax that way council could have some control over numbers maybe.</p> <p>We consider none of this to be outrageously bad for the future plan, and we hope you can adopt all the above.</p>		<p>Views noted and will be taken into account the preferred strategy.</p> <p>Changes in the status of second homes would need to be made at the national level.</p>
R Muckleston	Camelford Chamber of Commerce			Dispersed / Low						We do however take issue with the issue 6 of the Camelford Area Based Paper. This states "Promote renewable energy	No	Comments noted and will be taken into account when developing the Preferred Approach.

Full Name	Organisation Details	CM1 - Do you agree that these are the priority issues?	CM1 - Are there any issues that should be added, removed or changed?	CM2 - Option 1 – Distribution / Growth	CM2 - Please let us know why or an alternative suggestion for distribution.	CM3 - a) One or two key towns dominate?	CM3 - b) Self-contained villages?	CM3 - c) Groups of smaller villages that share facilities?	CM3 - d) Would you change the way these settlements work?	CM4 – Other comments on the CNA	Attachment(s)?	Officer Comments
										<p>generation other than through major windfarms".</p> <p>No other area paper includes such reference and no such restriction is mentioned anywhere else in the document. Since it is stated in 1.4 the Core Strategy will "provide a framework for planning decisions", this would seem to be very presumptive and 'policy by stealth'.</p> <p>Members of the Chamber of Commerce may be divided in their individual opinions on a particular local windfarm planning application, but cannot accept that this restriction should be applied unilaterally in the Camelford area. We understand that the consultation on the strategy for Landscape and Visual Sensitivity assessment of wind turbines and solar panels has not yet been concluded, so Cornwall Council does not appear to have adopted such a strategy for 'major windfarms'. Many of our Chamber members felt that a lot of benefit would be derived from the community benefits promised from the proposed development, which could help achieve many of the other stated aims.</p>		<p>Issue 6 was raised as an issue locally. At the next stage of the development of the Core Strategy the issue of renewable energy will be further consulted on and a Cornwall landscape strategy for solar and wind renewable energy is being developed which will inform the emerging Core Strategy and individual proposals for solar and wind renewables.</p>

Full Name	Organisation Details	CM1 - Do you agree that these are the priority issues?	CM1 - Are there any issues that should be added, removed or changed?	CM2 - Option 1 – Distribution / Growth	CM2 - Please let us know why or an alternative suggestion for distribution.	CM3 - a) One or two key towns dominate?	CM3 - b) Self-contained villages?	CM3 - c) Groups of smaller villages that share facilities?	CM3 - d) Would you change the way these settlements work?	CM4 – Other comments on the CNA	Attachment(s)?	Officer Comments
Richard Ward	Planning Development Manager Campaign to Protect Rural England (CPRE)	Yes	<p>Page21, Key Features, Environment – No reference is made to the incredibly diverse nature of the environment of the CNA. Reference should be made to the fact that Camelford struggles to play its functional role because of its limited size and facilities. In addition while it is noted under the Heading facilities reference is made to the Swimming Pool - has not Cornwall Council announced its closure? No reference is made under the employment heading to agricultural employment which is a major employer in the CNA</p> <p>Local Spatial Priorities – CPRE Cornwall would suggest that added to the list is the need to improve the environment of Camelford and retain and develop essential community services and facilities.</p> <p>Question CM1 - subject to the comments in paragraph 25 above, yes.</p>	Low	<p>CPRE Cornwall favours the low housing growth options combined with a mix of distributions based on CPRE Cornwall's response to the main Core Strategy. Camelford simply cannot continue to grow as it has in the past and there is an urgent need for support infrastructure to catch up with the past housing growth. Therefore future housing must be clearly linked to quality jobs and essential infrastructure.</p> <p>Please see attached letter for further detail.</p>						Yes	<p>Comments noted. They will be taken into account at the next stage of developing the Core Strategy.</p> <p>Issue 4 does specify the strengthening of local services. The local spatial priorities were amalgamated from local issues expressed through parish plans etc. These issues will however continue to evolve where necessary.</p> <p>Alongside the Core Strategy an Infrastructure Delivery Plan is being produced which will set out the infrastructure necessary to underpin growth as proposed in the Core Strategy. This is to help ensure that new development does not come forward without the necessary infrastructure.</p>
Shaun Pritchard	Tech Spec Environment Agency		Any development proposals within Camelford that lie close to the Camel River will need to consider the objectives of the Camel Restoration Strategy.								No	Comments noted and will be taken into account when developing the Preferred Approach.

